arXiv:1105.3250v1 [math.OA] 17 May 2011

C^*-ALGEBRAS ASSOCIATED WITH LAMBDA-SYNCHRONIZING SUBSHIFTS AND FLOW EQUIVALENCE

KENGO MATSUMOTO

Abstract. A certain synchronizing property for subshifts called λ-synchronization yields λ-graph systems called the λ-synchronizing λ-graph systems for the subshifts. The λ-synchronizing λ-graph system is a left Fischer cover analogue for a λ-synchronizing subshift. We will study algebraic structure of the C^*-algebra associated with the λ-synchronizing λ-graph system and prove that the stable isomorphism class of the C^*-algebra with its Cartan subalgebra is invariant under flow equivalence of λ-synchronizing subshifts.

Keywords: subshifts, C^*-algebras, λ-graph systems, flow equivalence, K-groups, Bowen-Franks groups.

Mathematics Subject Classification 2000: Primary 46L05; Secondary 05A15, 37B10.

1. Introduction

Let Σ be a finite set with its discrete topology. We call it an alphabet and each member of it a symbol or a label. Let $\Sigma^{\mathbb{Z}}$, $\Sigma^{\mathbb{N}}$ be the infinite product spaces $\prod_{i=-\infty}^{\infty} \Sigma_i$, $\prod_{i=1}^{\infty} \Sigma_i$ where $\Sigma_i = \Sigma$, endowed with the product topology respectively. The transformation σ on $\Sigma^{\mathbb{Z}}$ given by $\sigma((x_i)_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}) = (x_{i+1})_{i\in\mathbb{Z}}$ for $(x_i)_{i\in\mathbb{Z}} \in \Sigma^{\mathbb{Z}}$ is called the full shift. Let Λ be a shift invariant closed subset of $\Sigma^{\mathbb{Z}}$ i.e. $\sigma(\Lambda) = \Lambda$. The topological dynamical system $(\Lambda, \sigma|_\Lambda)$ is called a subshift or a symbolic dynamical system, and simply written as Λ. Theory of symbolic dynamical systems forms a basic ingredient in the theory of topological dynamical systems (see [15], [28]).

The author has introduced a notion of λ-graph system, that is a labeled Bratteli diagram with an additional structure called ι-map ([31]). A λ-graph system $\mathfrak{L}$ presents a subshift and yields a C^*-algebra $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{L}}$ ([34]). For a subshift Λ, one may construct a λ-graph system $\mathfrak{L}^\Lambda$ called the canonical λ-graph system for Λ in a canonical way. It is a left Krieger cover version for a subshift. The C^*-algebra $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{L}^\Lambda}$ for $\mathfrak{L}^\Lambda$ coincides with the C^*-algebra $\mathcal{O}_\Lambda$ associated with subshift Λ ([29],cf. [5]). It has been proved that the stable isomorphism class of the C^*-algebra $\mathcal{O}_\Lambda$ is invariant under not only topological conjugacy of Λ but also flow equivalence of Λ, so that the K-groups $K_i(\mathcal{O}_\Lambda), i = 0, 1$ and the Ext-groups $\mathrm{Ext}^i(\mathcal{O}_\Lambda), i = 0, 1$ are invariant under flow equivalence of subshifts ([7], [32], [33]). The latter groups $\mathrm{Ext}^i(\mathcal{O}_\Lambda), i = 0, 1$ have been defined as the Bowen-Franks groups for Λ ([32], [33]). For an irreducible sofic shift, there is another important cover called the (left or right) Fischer cover. The (left) Fischer cover is an irreducible labeled graph that is minimal (left)-resolving presentation, whereas the (left) Krieger cover is not necessarily irreducible.

In [27], a certain synchronizing property for subshifts called λ-synchronization has been introduced. The λ-synchronizing property is weaker than the usual synchronizing property, so that irreducible sofic shifts are λ-synchronizing as well as Dyck sihifts, β-shifts, Morse shifts, etc. are λ-synchronizing. Many irreducible subshifts have this property. For a λ-synchronizing subshift Λ there exists a λ-graph system called the λ-synchronizing λ-graph system $\mathfrak{L}^{\lambda(\Lambda)}$. The λ-synchronizing λ-graph system for an irreducible sofic shift is the λ-graph system associated to the left Fisher cover. Hence the λ-synchronizing λ-graph systems are regarded as the left Fisher cover analogue for λ-synchronizing subshifts.

In [40], it has been proved that the K-groups and the Bowen-Franks groups for a λ-synchronizing λ-graph system are invariant under not only topological conjugacy but also flow equivalence, so that they yield flow equivalence invariants of λ-synchronizing subshifts.

In this paper, we will first study algebraic structure of the C^*-algebra $\mathcal{O}_{\lambda(\Lambda)}$ associated with the λ-synchronizing λ-graph system $\mathfrak{L}^{\lambda(\Lambda)}$ for Λ, and show that if Λ is λ-synchronizingly transitive, the algebra $\mathcal{O}_{\lambda(\Lambda)}$ is simple (Theorem 3.7). We will next prove that the stable isomorphism class of the C^*-algebra $\mathcal{O}_{\lambda(\Lambda)}$ with its Cartan subalgebra is invariant under flow equivalence of λ-synchronizing subshifts (Theorem 4.17). As a consequence we have a C^*-algebraic proof for the above mentioned fact that the K-groups and the Bowen-Franks groups for the λ-synchronizing λ-graph system are invariant under flow equivalence (Corollary 4.18).

2. λ-SYNCHRONIZING λ-GRAPH SYSTEMS

Let Λ be a subshift over Σ. We denote by $X_\Lambda (\subset \Sigma^{\mathbb{N}})$ the set of all right one-sided sequences appearing in Λ. For a natural number $l \in \mathbb{N}$, we denote by $B_l(\Lambda)$ the set of all words appearing in Λ with length equal to l. Put $B_*(\Lambda) = \cup_{l=0}^{\infty} B_l(\Lambda)$ where $B_0(\Lambda) = \{\emptyset\}$ the empty word. For a word $\mu = \mu_1 \cdots \mu_k \in B_*(\Lambda)$, a right infinite sequence $x = (x_i)_{i\in\mathbb{N}} \in X_\Lambda$ and $l \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, put

$$\begin{aligned}
\Gamma_l^-(\mu) &= \{\nu_1 \cdots \nu_l \in B_l(\Lambda) \mid \nu_1 \cdots \nu_l \mu_1 \cdots \mu_k \in B_*(\Lambda)\},\\
\Gamma_l^-(x) &= \{\nu_1 \cdots \nu_l \in B_l(\Lambda) \mid (\nu_1, \cdots, \nu_l, x_1, x_2, \cdots) \in X_\Lambda\},\\
\Gamma_l^+(\mu) &= \{\omega_1 \cdots \omega_l \in B_l(\Lambda) \mid \mu_1 \cdots \mu_k \omega_1 \cdots \omega_l \in B_*(\Lambda)\},\\
\Gamma_*^+(\mu) &= \cup_{l=0}^{\infty} \Gamma_l^+(\mu).
\end{aligned}$$

A word $\mu = \mu_1 \cdots \mu_k \in B_*(\Lambda)$ for $l \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ is said to be *l-synchronizing* if for all $\omega \in \Gamma_*^+(\mu)$ the equality

$$\Gamma_l^-(\mu) = \Gamma_l^-(\mu\omega)$$

holds. Denote by $S_l(\Lambda)$ the set of all l-synchronizing words of Λ. We say that an irreducible subshift Λ is *λ-synchronizing* if for any $\eta \in B_l(\Lambda)$ and $k \ge l$ there exists $\nu \in S_k(\Lambda)$ such that $\eta\nu \in S_{k-l}(\Lambda)$. Irreducible sofic shifts are λ-synchronizing. More generally, synchronizing subshifts are λ-synchronizing (see [2] for synchronizing subshifts). Many irreducible subshifts including Dyck shifts, β-shifts and Morse shifts are λ-synchronizing. There exists a concrete example of an irreducible subshift that is not λ-synchronizing (see [27]).

Proposition 2.1 ([40], cf. [21], [27]). *λ-synchronization is invariant under not only topological conjugacy but also flow equivalence of subshifts.*

For $\mu, \nu \in B_*(\Lambda)$, we say that μ is l-past equivalent to ν if $\Gamma_l^-(\mu) = \Gamma_l^-(\nu)$. We write it as $\mu \underset{l}{\sim} \nu$. The following lemma is straightforward.

Lemma 2.2 ([27], [40])**.** *Let Λ be a λ-synchronizing subshift. Then we have*

(i) *For $\mu \in S_l(\Lambda)$, there exists $\mu' \in S_{l+1}(\Lambda)$ such that $\mu \underset{l}{\sim} \mu'$.*

(ii) *For $\mu \in S_l(\Lambda)$, there exist $\beta \in \Sigma$ and $\nu \in S_{l+1}(\Lambda)$ such that $\mu \underset{l}{\sim} \beta\nu$.*

A λ-graph system is a graphical object presenting a subshift ([31]). It is a generalization of a finite labeled graph and yields a C^*-algebra ([34]). Let $\mathfrak{L} = (V, E, \lambda, \iota)$ be a λ-graph system over Σ with vertex set $V = \cup_{l \in \mathbb{Z}_+} V_l$ and edge set $E = \cup_{l \in \mathbb{Z}_+} E_{l,l+1}$ with a labeling map $\lambda : E \to \Sigma$, and that is supplied with surjective maps $\iota (= \iota_{l,l+1}) : V_{l+1} \to V_l$ for $l \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. Here the vertex sets $V_l, l \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ are finite disjoint sets. Also $E_{l,l+1}, l \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ are finite disjoint sets. An edge e in $E_{l,l+1}$ has its source vertex $s(e)$ in V_l and its terminal vertex $t(e)$ in V_{l+1} respectively. Every vertex in V has a successor and every vertex in V_l for $l \in \mathbb{N}$ has a predecessor. It is then required that there exists an edge in $E_{l,l+1}$ with label α and its terminal is $v \in V_{l+1}$ if and only if there exists an edge in $E_{l-1,l}$ with label α and its terminal is $\iota(v) \in V_l$. For $u \in V_{l-1}$ and $v \in V_{l+1}$, put

$$E^{\iota}_{l,l+1}(u, v) = \{e \in E_{l,l+1} \mid t(e) = v, \iota(s(e)) = u\},$$
$$E_{\iota}^{l-1,l}(u, v) = \{e \in E_{l-1,l} \mid s(e) = u, t(e) = \iota(v)\}.$$

Then we require a bijective correspondence preserving their labels between $E^{\iota}_{l,l+1}(u, v)$ and $E_{\iota}^{l-1,l}(u, v)$ for each pair of vertices u, v. We call this property the local property of λ-graph system. We call an edge in E a labeled edge and a finite sequence of connecting labeled edges a labeled path. If a labeled path γ labeled ν starts at a vertex $v \in V_l$ and ends at a vertex $u \in V_{l+n}$, we say that ν leaves v and write $s(\gamma) = v, t(\gamma) = u, \lambda(\gamma) = \nu$. We henceforth assume that $\mathfrak{L}$ is left-resolving, which means that $t(e) \neq t(f)$ whenever $\lambda(e) = \lambda(f)$ for $e, f \in E$. For a vertex $v \in V_l$ denote by $\Gamma_l^-(v)$ the predecessor set of v which is defined by the set of words with length l appearing as labeled paths from a vertex in V_0 to the vertex v. $\mathfrak{L}$ is said to be predecessor-separated if $\Gamma_l^-(v) \neq \Gamma_l^-(u)$ whenever $u, v \in V_l$ are distinct. Two λ-graph systems $\mathfrak{L} = (V, E, \lambda, \iota)$ over Σ and $\mathfrak{L}' = (V', E', \lambda', \iota')$ over Σ are said to be isomorphic if there exist bijections $\Phi_V : V \longrightarrow V'$ and $\Phi_E : E \longrightarrow E'$ satisfying $\Phi_V(V_l) = V'_l$ and $\Phi_E(E_{l,l+1}) = E'_{l,l+1}$ such that they give rise to a labeled graph isomorphism compatible to ι and ι'. We note that any essential finite directed labeled graph $\mathcal{G} = (\mathcal{V}, \mathcal{E}, \lambda)$ over Σ with vertex set $\mathcal{V}$, edge set $\mathcal{E}$ and labeling map $\lambda : \mathcal{E} \longrightarrow \Sigma$ gives rise to a λ-graph system $\mathfrak{L}_{\mathcal{G}} = (V, E, \lambda, \iota)$ by setting $V_l = \mathcal{V}, E_{l,l+1} = \mathcal{E}, \iota = \mathrm{id}$ for all $l \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ (cf.[34]).

For a λ-synchronizing subshift Λ over Σ, we have introduced a λ-graph system

$$\mathfrak{L}^{\lambda(\Lambda)} = (V^{\lambda(\Lambda)}, E^{\lambda(\Lambda)}, \lambda^{\lambda(\Lambda)}, \iota^{\lambda(\Lambda)})$$

defined by λ-synchronization of Λ as in the following way ([27], [40]). Let $V_l^{\lambda(\Lambda)}$ be the l-past equivalence classes of $S_l(\Lambda)$. We denote by $[\mu]_l$ the equivalence class of $\mu \in S_l(\Lambda)$. For $\nu \in S_{l+1}(\Lambda)$ and $\alpha \in \Gamma_1^-(\nu)$, define a labeled edge from $[\alpha\nu]_l \in V_l^{\lambda(\Lambda)}$ to $[\nu]_l \in V_{l+1}^{\lambda(\Lambda)}$ labeled α. Such labeled edges are denoted by $E_{l,l+1}^{\lambda(\Lambda)}$. Denote by $\lambda^{\lambda(\Lambda)} : E_{l,l+1}^{\lambda(\Lambda)} \longrightarrow \Sigma$ the labeling map. Since $S_{l+1}(\Lambda) \subset S_l(\Lambda)$, we have a natural map $[\mu]_{l+1} \in V_{l+1}^{\lambda(\Lambda)} \longrightarrow [\mu]_l \in V_l^{\lambda(\Lambda)}$ that we denote by $\iota_{l,l+1}^{\lambda(\Lambda)}$. Then

$\mathfrak{L}^{\lambda(\Lambda)} = (V^{\lambda(\Lambda)}, E^{\lambda(\Lambda)}, \lambda^{\lambda(\Lambda)}, \iota^{\lambda(\Lambda)})$ defines a predecessor-separated, left-resolving λ-graph stsem that presents Λ. We call $\mathfrak{L}^{\lambda(\Lambda)}$ the canonical λ-synchronizing λ-graph system of Λ.

The canonical λ-synchronizing λ-graph system may be characterized in an intrinsic way. Let $\mathfrak{L} = (V, E, \lambda, \iota)$ be a predecessor-separated, left-resolving λ-graph system over Σ that presents a subshift Λ. Denote by $\{v_1^l, \dots, v_{m(l)}^l\}$ the vertex set V_l at level l. For an admissible word $\nu \in B_n(\Lambda)$ and a vertex $v_i^l \in V_l$, we say that v_i^l *launches* ν if the following two conditions hold:

(i) There exists a path labeled ν in $\mathfrak{L}$ leaving the vertex v_i^l and ending at a vertex in V_{l+n}
(ii) The word ν does not leave any other vertex in V_l than v_i^l.

We call the vertex v_i^l the launching vertex for ν. We set

$$S_{v_i^l}(\Lambda) = \{\nu \in B_*(\Lambda) \mid v_i^l \text{ launches } \nu\}.$$

Definition. A λ-graph system $\mathfrak{L}$ is said to be λ-*synchronizing* if for any $l \in \mathbb{N}$ and any vertex $v_i^l \in V_l$, there exists a word $\nu \in B_*(\Lambda)$ such that v_i^l launches ν.

Lemma 2.3 ([40]). *Keep the above notations. Assume that* $\mathfrak{L} = (V, E, \lambda, \iota)$ *is* λ*-synchronizing. Then we have*

(i) $\sqcup_{i=1}^{m(l)} S_{v_i^l}(\Lambda) = S_l(\Lambda)$.
(ii) *The* l*-past equivalence classes of* $S_l(\Lambda)$ *is* $S_{v_i^l}(\Lambda), i = 1, \dots, m(l)$.
(iii) *For any* l*-synchronizing word* $w \in S_l(\Lambda)$*, there exists a vertex* $v_{i(\omega)}^l \in V_l$ *such that* $v_{i(\omega)}^l$ *launches* ω *and* $\Gamma_l^-(\omega) = \Gamma_l^-(v_{i(\omega)}^l)$.

Definition. A λ-graph system $\mathfrak{L} = (V, E, \lambda, \iota)$ is said to be ι-*irreducible* if for any two vertices $v, u \in V_l$ and a labeled path γ starting at u, there exist a labeled path from v to a vertex $u' \in V_{l+n}$ such that $\iota^n(u') = u$, and a labeled path γ' starting at u' such that $\iota^n(t(\gamma')) = t(\gamma)$ and $\lambda(\gamma') = \lambda(\gamma)$, where $t(\gamma'), t(\gamma)$ denote the terminal vertices of γ', γ respectively and $\lambda(\gamma'), \lambda(\gamma)$ the words labeled by γ', γ respectively.

Lemma 2.4 ([40]). *Let* $\mathfrak{L} = (V, E, \lambda, \iota)$ *be a* λ*-graph system that presents a subshift* Λ.

(i) *If* $\mathfrak{L}$ *is* ι*-irreducible, then* Λ *is irreducible.*
(ii) *Assume that* $\mathfrak{L} = (V, E, \lambda, \iota)$ *is* λ*-synchronizing. If* Λ *is irreducible, then* $\mathfrak{L}$ *is* ι*-irreducible.*

We then have

Proposition 2.5 ([40]). *A subshift* Λ *is* λ*-synchronizing if and only if there exists a left-resolving, predecessor-separated,* ι*-irreducible,* λ*-synchronizing* λ*-graph system that presents* Λ.

Theorem 2.6 ([40]). *For a* λ*-synchronizing subshift* Λ*, there uniquely exists a left-resolving, predecessor-separated,* ι*-irreducible,* λ*-synchronizing* λ*-graph system that presents* Λ*. The unique* λ*-synchronizing* λ*-graph system is the caninical* λ*-synchronizing* λ*-graph system* $\mathfrak{L}^{\lambda(\Lambda)}$ *for* Λ.

As in the preceding theorem, the canonical λ-synchronizing λ-graph system $\mathfrak{L}^{\lambda(\Lambda)}$ has a unique property in the above sense. We henceforth call $\mathfrak{L}^{\lambda(\Lambda)}$ the λ-synchronizing λ-graph system for Λ.

We say that a λ-graph system $\mathfrak{L}$ is *minimal* if there is no proper λ-graph subsystem of $\mathfrak{L}$ that presents Λ. This means that if $\mathfrak{L}'$ is a λ-graph subsystem of $\mathfrak{L}$ and presents the same subshift as the subshift presented by $\mathfrak{L}$, then $\mathfrak{L}'$ coincides with $\mathfrak{L}$. Then we may prove that the λ-synchronizing λ-graph system $\mathfrak{L}^{\lambda(\Lambda)}$ for a λ-synchronizing subshift Λ is minimal ([40]).

3. λ-SYNCHRONIZING C^*-ALGEBRAS

Let $\mathfrak{L} = (V, E, \lambda, \iota)$ be a left-resolving predecessor-separated λ-graph system over Σ and Λ the presented subshift by $\mathfrak{L}$. We denote by $\{v_1^l, \dots, v_{m(l)}^l\}$ the vertex set V_l. Define the transition matrices $A_{l,l+1}, I_{l,l+1}$ of $\mathfrak{L}$ by setting for $i = 1, 2, \dots, m(l),\ j = 1, 2, \dots, m(l+1),\ \alpha \in \Sigma$,

$$A_{l,l+1}(i,\alpha,j) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \ s(e) = v_i^l, \lambda(e) = \alpha, t(e) = v_j^{l+1} \text{ for some } e \in E_{l,l+1}, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise,} \end{cases}$$

$$I_{l,l+1}(i,j) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \ \iota_{l,l+1}(v_j^{l+1}) = v_i^l, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise.} \end{cases}$$

The C^*-algebra $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{L}}$ is realized as the universal unital C^*-algebra generated by partial isometries $S_\alpha, \alpha \in \Sigma$ and projections $E_i^l, i = 1, 2, \dots, m(l), l \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ subject to the following operator relations called $(\mathfrak{L})$:

$$\sum_{\beta \in \Sigma} S_\beta S_\beta^* = 1, \tag{3.1}$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m(l)} E_i^l = 1, \qquad E_i^l = \sum_{j=1}^{m(l+1)} I_{l,l+1}(i,j) E_j^{l+1}, \tag{3.2}$$

$$S_\alpha S_\alpha^* E_i^l = E_i^l S_\alpha S_\alpha^*, \tag{3.3}$$

$$S_\alpha^* E_i^l S_\alpha = \sum_{j=1}^{m(l+1)} A_{l,l+1}(i,\alpha,j) E_j^{l+1}, \tag{3.4}$$

for $\alpha \in \Sigma,\ i = 1, 2, \dots, m(l), l \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. It is nuclear ([34, Proposition 5.6]). For a word $\mu = \mu_1 \cdots \mu_k \in B_k(X_\Lambda)$, we set $S_\mu = S_{\mu_1} \cdots S_{\mu_k}$. The algebra of all finite linear combinations of the elements of the form

$$S_\mu E_i^l S_\nu^* \quad \text{for} \quad \mu, \nu \in B_*(X_\Lambda), \quad i = 1, \dots, m(l), \quad l \in \mathbb{Z}_+$$

is a dense $*$-subalgebra of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{L}}$. Let us denote by $\mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{L}}$ the C^*-subalgebra of $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{L}}$ generated by the projections $E_i^l, i = 1, \dots, m(l), l \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, which is a commutative AF-algebra. For a vertex $v_i^l \in V_l$, put

$$\begin{aligned} \Gamma_\infty^+(v_i^l) = \{(\alpha_1, \alpha_2, \dots,) \in \Sigma^{\mathbb{N}} \mid \ & \text{there exists an edge } e_{n,n+1} \in E_{n,n+1} \text{ for } n \ge l \\ & \text{such that } v_i^l = s(e_{l,l+1}),\ t(e_{n,n+1}) = s(e_{n+1,n+2}),\ \lambda(e_{n,n+1}) = \alpha_{n-l+1}\} \end{aligned}$$

the set of all label sequences in $\mathfrak{L}$ starting at v_i^l. We say that $\mathfrak{L}$ satisfies condition (I) if for each $v_i^l \in V$, the set $\Gamma_\infty^+(v_i^l)$ contains at least two distinct sequences. Under the condition (I), the algebra $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{L}}$ can be realized as the unique C^*-algebra subject to the relations $(\mathfrak{L})$ ([34, Theorem 4.3]). A λ-graph system $\mathfrak{L}$ is said to λ-irreducible if for an ordered pair of vertices $u, v \in V_l$, there exists a number $L_l(u,v) \in \mathbb{N}$ such that for a vertex $w \in V_{l+L_l(u,v)}$ with $\iota^{L_l(u,v)}(w) = u$, there exists a path γ in $\mathfrak{L}$ such that $s(\gamma) = v$, $t(\gamma) = w$, where $\iota^{L_l(u,v)}$ means the $L_l(u,v)$-times compositions of ι, and $s(\gamma), t(\gamma)$ denote the source vertex, the terminal vertex of γ respectively([37]). If $\mathfrak{L}$ is λ-irreducible with condition (I), the C^*-algebra $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{L}}$ is simple ([34, Theorem 4.7], [37]).

Proposition 3.1. *Let Λ be a λ-synchronizing subshift over Σ and $\mathfrak{L}^{\lambda(\Lambda)}$ the λ-synchronizing λ-graph system for Λ. Then Λ is homeomorphic to a Cantor discontinuum if and only if $\mathfrak{L}^{\lambda(\Lambda)}$ satisfies condition (I).*

Proof. Assume that Λ is homeomorphic to a Cantor discontinuum. Then the right one-sided subshift X_Λ is also homeomorphic to a Cantor discontinuum. For a vertex $v_i^l \in V_l^{\lambda(\Lambda)}$, take a l-synchronizing word $\mu = \mu_1 \cdots \mu_k \in S_l(\Lambda)$ such that v_i^l launches μ. Take an infinite sequence $x \in X_\Lambda$ such that $\mu \in \Gamma_k^-(x)$. Since X_Λ is homeomorphic to a Cantor discontinuum, any neighborhood of μx in X_Λ contains an element that is different from μx. Hence there exists an infinite sequence $x' \in X_\Lambda$ such that $\mu x' \in X_\Lambda$ and $x \neq x'$. As μ must leave the vertex v_i^l, both the sequences μx and $\mu x'$ are contained in $\Gamma_\infty^+(v_i^l)$ so that $\mathfrak{L}^{\lambda(\Lambda)}$ satisfies condition (I).

Conversely assume that $\mathfrak{L}^{\lambda(\Lambda)}$ satisfies condition (I). Since Λ is a compact, totally disconnected metric space, it suffices to show that Λ is perfect. It is enough to show that X_Λ is perfect. For any $x = (x_1, x_2, \dots) \in X_\Lambda$ and a word $\mu_1 \cdots \mu_k$ with $\mu_1 = x_1, \dots, \mu_k = x_k$, consider a cylinder set $U_\mu = \{(y_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}} \in X_\Lambda \mid y_1 = \mu_1, \dots, y_k = \mu_k\}$. Take an infinite path $(e_n)_{n\in\mathbb{N}}$ in $\mathfrak{L}^{\lambda(\Lambda)}$ labeled x such that $\lambda(e_n) = x_n$, $t(e_n) = s(e_{n+1})$, $n \in \mathbb{N}$. Let us denote by $v_i^k \in V_k^{\lambda(\Lambda)}$ the terminal vertex of the edge e_k. Since the follower set $\Gamma_\infty^+(v_i^k)$ of v_i^k has at least two distinct sequences, there exists $x' = (x'_{k+1}, x'_{k+2}, \dots) \in \Gamma_\infty^+(v_i^k)$ such that $x' \neq (x_{k+1}, x_{k+2}, \dots)$. As x' starts at v_i^k, the right one-sided sequence $\mu x' = (\mu_1, \dots, \mu_k, x'_{k+1}, x'_{k+2}, \dots)$ is contained in X_Λ and hence in U_μ. One then sees that x is a cluster point in X_Λ. $\square$

Let $\mathfrak{L} = (V, E, \lambda, \iota)$ be a left-resolving, predecessor-separated λ-graph system over Σ that presents a λ-synchronizing subshift Λ. Let $S_\alpha, \alpha \in \Sigma$ and $E_i^l, i = 1, \dots, m(l), l \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ be the generating partial isometries and the projections in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{L}}$ satisfying the relation $(\mathfrak{L})$. If $\mathfrak{L} = \mathfrak{L}^{\lambda(\Lambda)}$ the λ-synchronizing λ-graph system for Λ, the algebra $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{L}}$ is denoted by $\mathcal{O}_{\lambda(\Lambda)}$. We will study the algebraic structure of the C^*-algebra $\mathcal{O}_{\lambda(\Lambda)}$.

Lemma 3.2. *If $\mathfrak{L}$ is the λ-synchronizing λ-graph system $\mathfrak{L}^{\lambda(\Lambda)}$, we have*

(i) *For a vertex $v_i^l \in V_l$, there exists a word $\mu \in S_l(\Lambda)$ such that $E_i^l \geq S_\mu S_\mu^*$.*

(ii) *For a word $\mu \in S_l(\Lambda)$, there exists a unique vertex $v_i^l \in V_l^{\lambda(\Lambda)}$ such that $E_i^l \geq S_\mu S_\mu^*$.*

Proof. (i) For a vertex $v_i^l \in V_l$, take a word $\mu \in S_l(\Lambda)$ such that v_i^l launches μ. Since the word μ does not leave any other vertex in V_l than v_i^l, we have $S_\mu^* E_j^l S_\mu = 0$ for $j \neq i$ so that $S_\mu S_\mu^* E_j^l = 0$ for $j \neq i$. Let $n = |\mu|$. It then follows that

$$E_i^l = \sum_{\nu \in B_n(\Lambda)} S_\nu S_\nu^* E_i^l \geq S_\mu S_\mu^* E_i^l = \sum_{j=1}^{m(l)} S_\mu S_\mu^* E_j^l = S_\mu S_\mu^*.$$

(ii) For a word $\mu \in S_l(\Lambda)$, put $v_i^l = [\mu]_l \in V_l^{\lambda(\Lambda)}$. Since v_i^l launches μ, we have $S_\mu^* E_j^l S_\mu = 0$ for $j \neq i$ so that $S_\mu S_\mu^* E_j^l = 0$ for $j \neq i$. As in the above discussions, we have $E_i^l \geq S_\mu S_\mu^*$. If there exists $j = 1, \dots, m(l)$ such that $E_j^l \geq S_\mu S_\mu^*$, we have $S_\mu^* E_j^l S_\mu \geq S_\mu^* S_\mu \neq 0$ so that $S_\mu^* E_j^l S_\mu \neq 0$. Hence there exists a path in $\mathfrak{L}^{\lambda(\Lambda)}$ labeled μ that leaves v_j^l. Since v_i^l launches μ, one has $j = i$. $\square$

Proposition 3.3. *A λ-graph system $\mathfrak{L}$ is λ-synchronizing if and only if for a vertex $v_i^l \in V_l$, there exists a word $\mu \in S_l(\Lambda)$ such that $E_i^l \geq S_\mu S_\mu^*$ in $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{L}}$.*

Proof. Since the λ-synchronizing λ-graph system for Λ is unique and is $\mathfrak{L}^{\lambda(\Lambda)}$, the only if part has been proved in the preceding lemma. We will prove the if part. For a vertex $v_i^l \in V_l$, there exists a word $\mu = \mu_1 \dots \mu_n \in S_l(\Lambda)$ such that $E_i^l \geq S_\mu S_\mu^*$. Hence we have $S_\mu^* E_i^l S_\mu \neq 0$ so that the word μ leaves the vertex v_i^l and hence $\Gamma_l^-(v_i^l) \subset \Gamma_l^-(\mu)$. For $\xi \in \Gamma_l^-(\mu)$ we have $S_\xi E_i^l S_\xi^* \geq S_\xi S_\mu S_\mu^* S_\xi^* \neq 0$ so that $\xi \in \Gamma_l^-(v_i^l)$. This implies $\Gamma_l^-(\mu) \subset \Gamma_l^-(v_i^l)$ so that

$$\Gamma_l^-(v_i^l) = \Gamma_l^-(\mu). \tag{3.5}$$

Suppose that μ leaves v_j^l. Take a path labeled μ in $\mathfrak{L}$ from v_j^l to $v_{j'}^{l+n} \in V_{l+n}$. By the hypothesis, for the vertex $v_{j'}^{l+n}$, there exists $\nu \in S_{l+n}(\Lambda)$ such that $E_{j'}^{l+n} \geq S_\nu S_\nu^*$. By a similar argument to the above, one knows

$$\Gamma_{l+n}^-(v_{j'}^{l+n}) = \Gamma_{l+n}^-(\nu). \tag{3.6}$$

One then sees that

$$\Gamma_l^-(v_j^l) = \Gamma_l^-(\mu\nu). \tag{3.7}$$

One indeed sees that for $\xi \in \Gamma_l^-(v_j^l)$, one has $\xi\mu \in \Gamma_{l+n}^-(v_{j'}^{l+n})$. By (3.6), one has $\xi\mu \in \Gamma_{l+n}^-(\nu)$ so that $\xi \in \Gamma_l^-(\mu\nu)$. Conversely, for $\eta \in \Gamma_l^-(\mu\nu)$, one has $\eta\mu \in \Gamma_{l+n}^-(\nu)$ so that by (3.6) $\eta\mu \in \Gamma_{l+n}^-(v_{j'}^{l+n})$. As $\mathfrak{L}$ is left-resolving, one has $\eta \in \Gamma_l^-(v_j^l)$. Hence we have (3.7). Now we know $\Gamma_l^-(\mu\nu) = \Gamma_l^-(\mu)$ so that we have

$$\Gamma_l^-(v_j^l) = \Gamma_l^-(\mu). \tag{3.8}$$

By (3.5) and (3.8), one has

$$\Gamma_l^-(v_i^l) = \Gamma_l^-(v_j^l).$$

Since $\mathfrak{L}$ is left-resolving, one obtains that $v_i^l = v_j^l$ and hence v_i^l launches μ. Thus $\mathfrak{L}$ is λ-synchronizing. $\square$

The following lemmas are stated in terms of the C^*-algebra $\mathcal{O}_{\lambda(\Lambda)}$ associated with the λ-synchronizing λ-graph system $\mathfrak{L}^{\lambda(\Lambda)}$.

Lemma 3.4. *For $\xi, \eta \in B_*(\Lambda)$, we have $\Gamma_*^+(\xi) = \Gamma_*^+(\eta)$ if and only if $S_\xi^* S_\xi = S_\eta^* S_\eta$.*

Proof. Let $p = |\xi|$, $q = |\eta|$. We may assume that $p \leq q$. Let $V_{t(\xi)}^p$ be the set of all terminal vertices in V_p of paths in $\mathfrak{L}^{\lambda(\Lambda)}$ labeled ξ, that is

$$V_{t(\xi)}^p = \{v_j^p \in V_p \mid \xi \in \Gamma_p^-(v_j^p)\}.$$

Denote by $\xi(p)$ the cardinal number of $V_{t(\xi)}^p$. We write $V_{t(\xi)}^p = \{v_{j_1}^p, \dots, v_{j_{\xi(p)}}^p\}$. Similarly, let us denote by $V_{t(\eta)}^q$ the set of all terminal vertices in V_q of paths in $\mathfrak{L}^{\lambda(\Lambda)}$ labeled η. Denote by $\eta(q)$ the cardinal number of $V_{t(\eta)}^q$. We write $V_{t(\eta)}^q = \{v_{k_1}^q, \dots, v_{k_{\eta(q)}}^q\}$. By the relation $(\mathfrak{L})$, one sees

$$S_\xi^* S_\xi = E_{j_1}^p + \dots + E_{j_{\xi(p)}}^p, \qquad S_\eta^* S_\eta = E_{k_1}^q + \dots + E_{k_{\eta(q)}}^q.$$

We set

$$\iota^{q-p}(V^q_{t(\eta)}) = \{\iota^{q-p}(v^q_{k_1}), \dots, \iota^{q-p}(v^q_{k_{\eta(q)}})\} \subset V_p,$$
$$\iota^{p-q}(V^p_{t(\xi)}) = \{v^q_k \in V_q \mid \iota^{q-p}(v^q_k) \in V^p_{t(\xi)}\} \subset V_q.$$

Hence we have $S^*_\xi S_\xi = S^*_\eta S_\eta$ if and only if $\iota^{p-q}(V^p_{t(\xi)}) = V^q_{t(\eta)}$.

Now assume that $\Gamma^+_*(\xi) = \Gamma^+_*(\eta)$. For $v^q_k \in V^q_{t(\eta)}$, take $\nu(k) \in S_q(\Lambda)$ such that v^q_k launches $\nu(k)$. It is easy to see that $\iota^{q-p}(v^q_k)$ launches $\nu(k)$. Since $\nu(k) \in \Gamma^+_*(\eta)$, one has $\nu(k) \in \Gamma^+_*(\xi)$ so that $\nu(k)$ leaves a vertex in $V^p_{t(\xi)}$. As $\iota^{q-p}(v^q_k)$ is the only vertex which $\nu(k)$ leaves, one has $\iota^{q-p}(v^q_k) \in V^p_{t(\xi)}$. Hence we have $\iota^{q-p}(V^q_{t(\eta)}) \subset V^p_{t(\xi)}$ and hence $V^q_{t(\eta)} \subset \iota^{p-q}(V^p_{t(\xi)})$. For the other inclusion relation, take an arbitrary vertex $v^p_k \in \iota^{p-q}(V^p_{t(\xi)})$ and $\mu(q) \in S_q(\Lambda)$ such that v^p_k launches $\mu(q)$. Hence the word $\mu(q)$ leaves $\iota^{q-p}(v^q_k)$ and $\iota^{q-p}(v^q_k)$ launches $\mu(q)$. As $\mu(q) \in \Gamma^+_*(\xi)$, one has $\mu(q) \in \Gamma^+_*(\eta)$ so that there exists a vertex $v^q_{k_n} \in V^q_{t(\eta)}$ such that $\mu(q)$ leaves $v^q_{k_n}$. Therefore we have $v^q_k = v^q_{k_n}$ and hence $v^q_k \in V^q_{t(\eta)}$ so that $\iota^{p-q}(V^p_{t(\xi)}) \subset V^q_{t(\eta)}$. This implies $S^*_\xi S_\xi = S^*_\eta S_\eta$.

Conversely assume the equality $S^*_\xi S_\xi = S^*_\eta S_\eta$ holds so that $\iota^{p-q}(V^p_{t(\xi)}) = V^q_{t(\eta)}$. By the local property of λ-graph system, one easily sees that the set of followers of $V^p_{t(\xi)}$ coincides with the set of followers of $V^q_{t(\eta)}$. This implies that $\Gamma^+_*(\xi) = \Gamma^+_*(\eta)$. □

For $\mu, \nu \in B_*(\Lambda)$, we write $\mu \succ \nu$ if there exists a word $\eta \in B_*(\Lambda)$ such that $\Gamma^+_*(\nu) = \Gamma^+_*(\mu\eta\nu)$. The following lemma comes from the preceding lemma.

Lemma 3.5. *For words $\mu, \nu \in B_*(\Lambda)$, the following three conditions are equivalent:*

(i) $\mu \succ \nu$.

(ii) *There exists a word $\eta \in B_*(\Lambda)$ such that $S^*_\nu S_\nu = S^*_\nu S^*_\eta S^*_\mu S_\mu S_\eta S_\nu$ in $\mathcal{O}_{\lambda(\Lambda)}$.*

(iii) *There exists a word $\eta \in B_*(\Lambda)$ such that $S_\nu S^*_\nu \le S^*_\eta S^*_\mu S_\mu S_\eta$ in $\mathcal{O}_{\lambda(\Lambda)}$.*

Proof. The equivalence between (i) and (ii) follows from Lemma 3.4. It is clear that the equality $S^*_\nu S_\nu = S^*_\nu S^*_\eta S^*_\mu S_\mu S_\eta S_\nu$ is equivalent to the inequality $S_\nu S^*_\nu \le S^*_\eta S^*_\mu S_\mu S_\eta$. □

Definition. A λ-synchronizing subshift Λ is said to be *synchronizingly transitive* if for any two words $\mu, \nu \in B_*(\Lambda)$, the both relations $\mu \succ \nu$ and $\nu \succ \mu$ hold.

We note that the λ-irreduciblity for $\mathfrak{L}$ is rephrased in terms of the algebra $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{L}}$ as the property that for any $E^l_i, i = 1, \dots, m(l)$, there exists $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that $\sum_{k=1}^n \lambda^k_{\mathfrak{L}}(E^l_i) \ge 1$, where $\lambda^k_{\mathfrak{L}}(X) = \sum_{\mu \in B_k(\Lambda)} S^*_\mu X S_\mu$ for $X \in \mathcal{A}_{\mathfrak{L}}$.

Lemma 3.6. *If Λ is synchronizingly transitive, then $\mathfrak{L}^{\lambda(\Lambda)}$ is λ-irreducible.*

Proof. Take an ordered pair $v^l_i, v^l_j \in V_l$ of vertices. Since Λ is λ-synchronizing, by Lemma 3.2 , there exists $\mu \in S_l(\Lambda)$ such that v^l_i launches μ so that $E^l_i \ge S_\mu S^*_\mu$. For the vertex v^l_j, take a word $\nu \in B_l(\Lambda)$ such that $\nu \in \Gamma^-_l(v^l_j)$ so that $S^*_\nu S_\nu \ge E^l_j$. Now Λ is synchronizingly transitive so that we have

$$S^*_\nu S^*_\eta S^*_\mu S_\mu S_\eta S_\nu = S^*_\nu S_\nu$$

for some $\eta \in B_*(\Lambda)$, and hence

$$S^*_\nu S^*_\eta S^*_\mu E^l_i S_\mu S_\eta S_\nu \ge S^*_\nu S_\nu \ge E^l_j.$$

Put $k = |\mu\eta\nu|$. We then have $\lambda^k_{\mathfrak{L}^{\lambda(\Lambda)}}(E^l_i) \ge E^l_j$. Thus we may find $n \in \mathbb{N}$ such that

$$\sum_{k=1}^{n} \lambda^k_{\mathfrak{L}^{\lambda(\Lambda)}}(E^l_i) \ge 1.$$

$\square$

Theorem 3.7. *Let Λ be a λ-synchronizing subshift over Σ. Assume that Λ is homeomorphic to a Cantor discontinuum. If Λ is synchronizingly transitive, then the C^*-algebra $\mathcal{O}_{\lambda(\Lambda)}$ associated with the λ-synchronizing λ-graph system $\mathfrak{L}^{\lambda(\Lambda)}$ for Λ is simple.*

Proof. Since Λ is homeomorphic to a Cantor discontinuum, the λ-graph system $\mathfrak{L}^{\lambda(\Lambda)}$ satisfies condition (I). By the preceding proposition, synchronizing transitivity of Λ implies that $\mathfrak{L}^{\lambda(\Lambda)}$ is λ-irreucible so that the C^*-algebra $\mathcal{O}_{\lambda(\Lambda)}$ is simple by [34, Theorem 4.7] $\square$

4. FLOW EQUIVALNCE AND λ-SYNCHRONIZING C^*-ALGEBRAS

It has been proved that λ-synchronization is invariant under flow equivalence ([40]). In the proof, the Parry-Sullivan's result [41] which says that the flow equivalence relation on homeomorphisms of Cantor sets is generated by topological conjugacy and expansion of symbols has been used. Let Λ be a subshift over alphabet $\Sigma = \{1, 2, \dots, N\}$. A new subshift $\widetilde{\Lambda}$ over the alphabet $\widetilde{\Sigma} = \{0, 1, 2, \dots, N\}$ is defined as the subshift consisting of all biinfinite sequences of $\widetilde{\Sigma}$ obtained by replacing the symbol 1 in a biinfinite sequence in the subshift Λ by the word 01. This operation is called expansion. The Parry-Sullivan's result stated above is the following:

Lemma 4.1 ([41])**.** *Flow equivalence relation of subshifts is generated by topological conjugacy and the expansion $\Lambda \to \widetilde{\Lambda}$.*

In [40], it has been proved that the λ-synchronizing K-groups $K^\lambda_0(\Lambda), K^\lambda_1(\Lambda)$ and the λ-synchronizing Bowen-Franks groups $BF^0_\lambda(\Lambda)$, $BF^1_\lambda(\Lambda)$ for a λ-synchronizing subshift Λ are invariant under flow equivalence of subshifts. The groups $K^\lambda_0(\Lambda)$, $K^\lambda_1(\Lambda)$ and the Bowen-Franks groups $BF^0_\lambda(\Lambda)$, $BF^1_\lambda(\Lambda)$ are realized as the K-groups $K_0(\mathcal{O}_{\lambda(\Lambda)})$, $K_1(\mathcal{O}_{\lambda(\Lambda)})$ and the Ext-groups $\mathrm{Ext}^0(\mathcal{O}_{\lambda(\Lambda)})$, $\mathrm{Ext}^1(\mathcal{O}_{\lambda(\Lambda)})$ for the C^*-algebra $\mathcal{O}_{\lambda(\Lambda)}$ associated with the λ-synchronizing λ-graph system $\mathfrak{L}^{\lambda(\Lambda)}$. If the algebra $\mathcal{O}_{\lambda(\Lambda)}$ is simple and purely infinite, the K-groups $K_0(\mathcal{O}_{\lambda(\Lambda)})$, $K_1(\mathcal{O}_{\lambda(\Lambda)})$ determine the stable isomorphism class of $\mathcal{O}_{\lambda(\Lambda)}$ by the structure theorem of purely infinite simple C^*-algebras ([14], [42]).

In this section, we will prove that the stable isomorphism class of the pair $(\mathcal{O}_{\lambda(\Lambda)}, \mathcal{D}_{\lambda(\Lambda)})$ of $\mathcal{O}_{\lambda(\Lambda)}$ with its Cartan subalgebra $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda(\Lambda)}$ is invariant under flow equivalence of λ-synchronizing subshifts. We will not assume the simplicity of the algebra $\mathcal{O}_{\lambda(\Lambda)}$. As a result, we also give a C^*-algebraic proof of the above invariance of the groups $K^\lambda_0(\Lambda)$, $K^\lambda_1(\Lambda)$ and the Bowen-Franks groups $BF^0_\lambda(\Lambda)$, $BF^1_\lambda(\Lambda)$ under flow equivalence.

Let Λ be a λ-synchronizing subshift over $\Sigma = \{1, 2, \dots, N\}$. Let $S_i, i \in \Sigma$ and $E^l_i, i = 1, \dots, m(l), l \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ be the generating partial isometries and the projections in the C^*-algebra $\mathcal{O}_{\lambda(\Lambda)}$ satisfying the relations $(\mathfrak{L}^{\lambda(\Lambda)})$. The Cartan subalgebra $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda(\Lambda)}$ is defined by the C^*-subalgebra of $\mathcal{O}_{\lambda(\Lambda)}$ generated by the projections of the form $S_\mu E_i^l S_\mu^*, i = 1, \dots, m(l), \mu \in B_*(\Lambda)$, which is a regular maximal abelian subalgebra in $\mathcal{O}_{\lambda(\Lambda)}$. Consider the subshift $\widetilde{\Lambda}$ over $\widetilde{\Sigma} = \{0, 1, \dots, N\}$ that is obtained from Λ by replacing 1 in Λ by 01. It is λ-synchronizing by [40]. Denote by $\mathcal{O}_{\lambda(\widetilde{\Lambda})}$ the C^*-algebra associated with the λ-synchronizing λ-graph system $\mathfrak{L}^{\lambda(\widetilde{\Lambda})}$ for $\widetilde{\Lambda}$. Similarly let $\widetilde{S}_i, i \in \widetilde{\Sigma}$ and $\widetilde{E}_i^l, i = 1, \dots, \widetilde{m}(l), l \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ be the generating partial isometries and the projections in the C^*-algebra $\mathcal{O}_{\lambda(\widetilde{\Lambda})}$ satisfying the relations $(\mathfrak{L}^{\lambda(\widetilde{\Lambda})})$. We set the partial isometries

$$s_1 = \widetilde{S}_0 \widetilde{S}_1, \qquad s_i = \widetilde{S}_i \quad \text{for } i = 2, \dots, N$$

and the projection

$$P = \widetilde{S}_0 \widetilde{S}_0^* + \widetilde{S}_2 \widetilde{S}_2^* + \widetilde{S}_3 \widetilde{S}_3^* + \cdots + \widetilde{S}_N \widetilde{S}_N^* = 1 - \widetilde{S}_1 \widetilde{S}_1^*$$

in $\mathcal{O}_{\lambda(\widetilde{\Lambda})}$.

Lemma 4.2. *$\widetilde{S}_0^* \widetilde{S}_0 = \widetilde{S}_1 \widetilde{S}_1^*$ and hence $s_1 s_1^* = \widetilde{S}_0 \widetilde{S}_0^*$, $s_1^* s_1 = \widetilde{S}_1^* \widetilde{S}_1$.*

Proof. We note that the set $V_0^{\lambda(\widetilde{\Lambda})}$ is a singleton. There exists a unique vertex $v_{j_0}^1$ in $V_1^{\lambda(\widetilde{\Lambda})}$ such that the symbol 0 goes to $v_{j_0}^1$ from $V_0^{\lambda(\widetilde{\Lambda})}$. The vertex $v_{j_0}^1$ is the 1-past equivalence class $[1\mu]_1$ for a word $1\mu \in B_*(\widetilde{\Lambda})$. It launches the symbol 1. Since 1 is the only symbol which leaves $v_{j_0}^1$, one sees $\widetilde{S}_\alpha^* \widetilde{E}_{j_0}^1 \widetilde{S}_\alpha \neq 0$ if and only if $\alpha = 1$. It then follows that

$$\widetilde{E}_{j_0}^1 = \sum_{\alpha \in \widetilde{\Sigma}} \widetilde{S}_\alpha \widetilde{S}_\alpha^* \widetilde{E}_{j_0}^1 = \widetilde{S}_1 \widetilde{S}_1^* \widetilde{E}_{j_0}^1.$$

Hence we have $\widetilde{E}_{j_0}^1 \le \widetilde{S}_1 \widetilde{S}_1^*$. Since the inequality $\widetilde{E}_{j_0}^1 \ge \widetilde{S}_1 \widetilde{S}_1^*$ is clear, we have

$$\widetilde{E}_{j_0}^1 = \widetilde{S}_1 \widetilde{S}_1^*.$$

As $v_{j_0}^1$ is the unique vertex in $V_1^{\lambda(\widetilde{\Lambda})}$ such that the symbol 0 goes to $v_{j_0}^1$, one has $\widetilde{S}_0^* \widetilde{S}_0 = \widetilde{E}_{j_0}^1$. The equalities $s_1 s_1^* = \widetilde{S}_0 \widetilde{S}_0^*$, $s_1^* s_1 = \widetilde{S}_1^* \widetilde{S}_1$ are obvious. $\square$

Lemma 4.3.

(i) $P = \sum_{j=1}^N s_j s_j^*$.
(ii) $P \ge s_\mu^* s_\mu$ *for all* $\mu \in B_l(\Lambda)$, $l \in \mathbb{N}$.
(iii) $\sum_{\mu \in B_l(\Lambda)} s_\mu^* s_\mu \ge P$ *for all* $l \in \mathbb{N}$.

Proof. (i) Since $\widetilde{S}_0 \widetilde{S}_0^* = s_1 s_1^*$, the assertion is clear.

(ii) Since $P = 1 - \widetilde{E}_{j_0}^1$, it suffices to show that $\widetilde{E}_{j_0}^1 \perp s_\mu^* s_\mu$ for $\mu = \mu_1 \cdots \mu_l \in B_l(\Lambda)$. If $\mu_l \neq 1$, one has $s_{\mu_l} = \widetilde{S}_{\mu_l}$ so that $s_{\mu_l} \widetilde{S}_1 = \widetilde{S}_{\mu_l} \widetilde{S}_1 = 0$. If $\mu_l = 1$, one has $s_{\mu_l} = \widetilde{S}_0 \widetilde{S}_1$ so that $s_{\mu_l} \widetilde{S}_1 = \widetilde{S}_0 \widetilde{S}_1 \widetilde{S}_1 = 0$. In any case we have $s_{\mu_l} \widetilde{S}_1 = 0$ so that $s_\mu^* s_\mu \widetilde{E}_{j_0}^1 = 0$.

(iii) We will first prove that $\sum_{i=1}^N s_i^* s_i \ge P$. We know that $\widetilde{S}_i^* \widetilde{S}_i = s_i^* s_i$ for $i = 1, \dots, N$ and $\widetilde{S}_0^* \widetilde{S}_0 = \widetilde{S}_1 \widetilde{S}_1^* = 1 - P$. Since $\sum_{i=0}^N \widetilde{S}_i^* \widetilde{S}_i \ge 1$ in $\mathcal{O}_{\lambda(\widetilde{\Lambda})}$, one obtains

$$\sum_{i=0}^N \widetilde{S}_i^* \widetilde{S}_i = 1 - P + \sum_{i=1}^N s_i^* s_i \ge 1$$

so that $\sum_{i=1}^N s_i^* s_i \geq P$. Suppose that the inequality $\sum_{\mu \in B_k(\Lambda)} s_\mu^* s_\mu \geq P$ holds for some $k \in \mathbb{N}$. It then follows that

$$\sum_{\nu \in B_{k+1}(\Lambda)} s_\nu^* s_\nu = \sum_{i=1}^N s_i^* (\sum_{\mu \in B_k(\Lambda)} s_\mu^* s_\mu) s_i \geq \sum_{i=1}^N s_i^* P s_i = \sum_{i,j=1}^N s_i^* s_j s_j^* s_i = \sum_{i=1}^N s_i^* s_i \geq P.$$

Hence we have the desired inequalities. □

In the λ-graph system $\mathfrak{L}^{\lambda(\Lambda)}$, recall that the set $\Gamma_l^-(v_i^l)$ for a vertex v_i^l in V_l denotes the predecessor of v_i^l which is the set of words of $B_l(\Lambda)$ presenting by labeled paths terminating at v_i^l. Put the projections for $i = 1, 2, \dots, m(l)$, $l \in \mathbb{Z}_+$

$$e_i^l = \prod_{\mu \in \Gamma_l^-(v_i^l)} s_\mu^* s_\mu \prod_{\nu \in B_l(\Lambda) \backslash \Gamma_l^-(v_i^l)} (P - s_\nu^* s_\nu).$$

For $\mu \in B_*(\Lambda)$, put

$$s_\mu^* s_\mu^1 = s_\mu^* s_\mu, \qquad s_\mu^* s_\mu^{-1} = P - s_\mu^* s_\mu.$$

For $v_i^l \in V_l^{\lambda(\Lambda)}$, define a function $f_i^l : B_l(\Lambda) \longrightarrow \{1, -1\}$ by setting

$$f_i^l(\mu) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } \mu \in \Gamma_l^-(v_i^l), \\ -1 & \text{if } \mu \notin \Gamma_l^-(v_i^l) \end{cases}$$

so that

$$e_i^l = \prod_{\mu \in B_l(\Lambda)} s_\mu^* s_\mu^{f_i^l(\mu)}.$$

Denote by $\{1, -1\}^{B_l(\Lambda)}$ the set of all functions from $B_l(\Lambda)$ to $\{1, -1\}$.

Lemma 4.4. *For $\epsilon \in \{1, -1\}^{B_l(\Lambda)}$, we have $\prod_{\mu \in B_l(\Lambda)} s_\mu^* s_\mu^{\epsilon(\mu)} \neq 0$ if and only if $\epsilon = f_i^l$ for some $i = 1, \dots, m(l)$. In this case $\prod_{\mu \in B_l(\Lambda)} s_\mu^* s_\mu^{\epsilon(\mu)} = e_i^l$.*

Proof. Suppose that $\epsilon = f_i^l$ for some $i = 1, \dots, m(l)$. Since Λ is λ-synchronizing, there exists $\nu \in S_l(\Lambda)$ such that v_i^l launches ν so that

$$s_\mu^* s_\mu \geq s_\nu s_\nu^* \quad \text{for} \quad \mu \in \Gamma_l^-(v_i^l),$$
$$P - s_\mu^* s_\mu \geq s_\nu s_\nu^* \quad \text{for} \quad \mu \in B_l(\Lambda) \backslash \Gamma_l^-(v_i^l).$$

Hence we have $\prod_{\mu \in B_l(\Lambda)} s_\mu^* s_\mu^{f_i^l(\mu)} \geq s_\nu s_\nu^* \neq 0$.

Conversely suppose that $\prod_{\mu \in B_l(\Lambda)} s_\mu^* s_\mu^{\epsilon(\mu)} \neq 0$. Since $\prod_{\mu \in B_l(\Lambda)} s_\mu^* s_\mu^{\epsilon(\mu)} \in \mathcal{A}_{\lambda(\widetilde{\Lambda})}$, there exists $k \geq l$ and $i_1 = 1, 2, \dots, \tilde{m}(k)$ such that $\prod_{\mu \in B_l(\Lambda)} s_\mu^* s_\mu^{\epsilon(\mu)} \geq \widetilde{E}_{i_1}^k \in \mathcal{A}_{\lambda(\widetilde{\Lambda})}$. Take $\omega \in S_k(\widetilde{\Lambda})$ such that $v_{i_1}^k$ launches ω. Since $\sum_{\mu \in B_l(\Lambda)} s_\mu^* s_\mu \geq P$, there exists $\mu \in B_l(\Lambda)$ such that $s_\mu^* s_\mu \geq \widetilde{E}_{i_1}^k$. Hence we see that $\mu\omega \in B_*(\Lambda)$. As the rightmost of μ is not 0, the leftmost of ω is not 1. Let $\bar{\omega}$ be the word in $B_*(\Lambda)$ obtained from ω by putting 1 in place of 01 in ω. Since $\widetilde{E}_{i_1}^k \geq \widetilde{S}_\omega \widetilde{S}_\omega^*$, one sees that

$$\prod_{\mu \in B_l(\Lambda)} s_\mu^* s_\mu^{\epsilon(\mu)} \geq s_{\bar{\omega}} s_{\bar{\omega}}^*.$$

As $[\bar{\omega}]_l \in V_l^{\lambda(\Lambda)}$, we have $[\bar{\omega}]_l = v_i^l$ for some $i = 1, \dots, m(l)$. The vertex v_i^l launches $\bar{\omega}$ so that $\epsilon = f_i^l$. □

Lemma 4.5. *For $\mu, \nu \in B_l(\Lambda)$ and $\alpha, \beta \in \Sigma$, we have*

(i) $s_\mu^*(P - s_\alpha^* s_\alpha)s_\mu \cdot s_\mu^* s_\beta^* s_\beta s_\mu = (P - s_{\alpha\mu}^* s_{\alpha\mu}) s_{\beta\mu}^* s_{\beta\mu}$.

(ii) $s_\alpha^* \cdot s_\mu^* s_\mu (P - s_\nu^* s_\nu) s_\alpha = s_{\mu\alpha}^* s_{\mu\alpha}(P - s_{\nu\alpha}^* s_{\nu\alpha})$.

Proof. (i) Since $P s_\beta^* s_\beta = s_\beta^* s_\beta$ and hence $s_\mu^* P s_\beta^* s_\beta s_\mu = s_{\beta\mu}^* s_{\beta\mu}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} s_\mu^*(P - s_\alpha^* s_\alpha)s_\mu \cdot s_\mu^* s_\beta^* s_\beta s_\mu &= s_\mu^* P s_\beta^* s_\beta s_\mu - s_\mu^* s_\alpha^* s_\alpha s_\beta^* s_\beta s_\mu \\ &= P s_{\beta\mu}^* s_{\beta\mu} - s_{\alpha\mu}^* s_{\alpha\mu} s_{\beta\mu}^* s_{\beta\mu} \\ &= (P - s_{\alpha\mu}^* s_{\alpha\mu}) s_{\beta\mu}^* s_{\beta\mu}. \end{aligned}$$

(ii) Since $P s_\alpha = s_\alpha$ and $s_{\mu\alpha}^* s_{\mu\alpha} = s_{\mu\alpha}^* s_{\mu\alpha} P$, we have

$$\begin{aligned} s_\alpha^* \cdot s_\mu^* s_\mu (P - s_\nu^* s_\nu) s_\alpha &= s_{\mu\alpha}^* s_{\mu\alpha} - s_{\mu\alpha}^* s_{\mu\alpha} s_{\nu\alpha}^* s_{\nu\alpha} \\ &= s_{\mu\alpha}^* s_{\mu\alpha}(P - s_{\nu\alpha}^* s_{\nu\alpha}). \end{aligned}$$

□

Lemma 4.6. *The partial isometries $s_\alpha, \alpha \in \Sigma$ and the projections $e_i^l, i = 1, 2, \dots, m(l)$, $l \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ satisfy the following operator relations:*

$$\sum_{\beta \in \Sigma} s_\beta s_\beta^* = P, \tag{4.1}$$

$$\sum_{i=1}^{m(l)} e_i^l = P, \qquad e_i^l = \sum_{j=1}^{m(l+1)} I_{l,l+1}(i,j) e_j^{l+1}, \tag{4.2}$$

$$s_\alpha s_\alpha^* e_i^l = e_i^l s_\alpha s_\alpha^*, \tag{4.3}$$

$$s_\alpha^* e_i^l s_\alpha = \sum_{j=1}^{m(l+1)} A_{l,l+1}(i,\alpha,j) e_j^{l+1}, \tag{4.4}$$

for $\alpha \in \Sigma$, $i = 1, 2, \dots, m(l), l \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, where $I_{l,l+1}, A_{l,l+1}$ denote the transition mattices for the λ-graph system $\mathfrak{L}^{\lambda(\Lambda)}$.

Proof. The equality (4.1) has been proved in Lemma 4.3 (i).

It follows that

$$P = \prod_{\mu \in B_l(\Lambda)} (s_\mu^* s_\mu + P - s_\mu^* s_\mu) = \sum_{\epsilon \in \{-1,1\}^{B_l(\Lambda)}} \prod_{\mu \in B_l(\Lambda)} s_\mu^* s_\mu^{\epsilon(\mu)}.$$

By Lemma 4.4, the nonzero $\prod_{\mu \in B_l(\Lambda)} s_\mu^* s_\mu^{\epsilon(\mu)}$ is of the form $\prod_{\mu \in B_l(\Lambda)} s_\mu^* s_\mu^{f_i^l(\mu)}$ for some $i = 1, \dots, m(l)$ so that we have $P = \sum_{i=1}^{m(l)} e_i^l$.

We will next show the equality (4.4). It follows that

$$\begin{aligned} s_\alpha^* e_i^l s_\alpha &= s_\alpha^* \Big(\prod_{\mu \in \Gamma_l^-(v_i^l)} s_\mu^* s_\mu \prod_{\nu \in B_l(\Lambda) \setminus \Gamma_l^-(v_i^l)} (P - s_\nu^* s_\nu) \Big) s_\alpha \\ &= \prod_{\mu \in \Gamma_l^-(v_i^l)} s_{\mu\alpha}^* s_{\mu\alpha} \prod_{\nu \in B_l(\Lambda) \setminus \Gamma_l^-(v_i^l)} (P - s_{\nu\alpha}^* s_{\nu\alpha}). \end{aligned}$$

Hence $s_\alpha^* e_i^l s_\alpha$ is written as a finite sum of $e_j^{l+1}, j = 1, \dots, m(l+1)$. If $s_\alpha^* e_i^l s_\alpha \ge e_j^{l+1}$, then one has

$$\begin{aligned} s_\alpha^*(s_\mu^* s_\mu) s_\alpha &\ge e_j^{l+1} \quad \text{for } \mu \in \Gamma_l^-(v_i^l), \\ s_\alpha^*(P - s_\nu^* s_\nu) s_\alpha &\ge e_j^{l+1} \quad \text{for } \nu \in B_l(\Lambda) \setminus \Gamma_l^-(v_i^l). \end{aligned}$$

Since

$$e_j^{l+1} = \prod_{\xi \in \Gamma_{l+1}^-(v_j^{l+1})} s_\xi^* s_\xi \prod_{\eta \in B_{l+1}(\Lambda) \backslash \Gamma_{l+1}^-(v_j^{l+1})} (P - s_\eta^* s_\eta)$$

and Λ is λ-synchronizing, there exists $\zeta(j) \in S_{l+1}(\Lambda)$ such that $[\zeta(j)]_{l+1} = v_j^{l+1}$. Hence we have $e_j^{l+1} \geq s_{\zeta(j)} s_{\zeta(j)}^*$. As $s_\alpha^* e_i^l s_\alpha \geq e_j^{l+1} \geq s_{\zeta(j)} s_{\zeta(j)}^*$, one has $e_i^l \geq s_{\alpha\zeta(j)} s_{\alpha\zeta(j)}^* \neq 0$. Hence

$$\begin{aligned} &\mu\alpha\zeta(j) \in B_*(\Lambda) \quad \text{for } \mu \in \Gamma_l^-(v_i^l), \\ &\nu\alpha\zeta(j) \notin B_*(\Lambda) \quad \text{for } \nu \in B_l(\Lambda) \backslash \Gamma_l^-(v_i^l) \end{aligned}$$

so that $[\alpha\zeta(j)]_l = v_i^l$. Since $[\zeta(j)]_{l+1} = v_j^{l+1}$, one has $A_{l,l+1}(i, \alpha, j) = 1$. Therefore the condition $s_\alpha^* e_i^l s_\alpha \geq e_j^{l+1}$ implies $A_{l,l+1}(i, \alpha, j) = 1$. Hence we obtain

$$s_\alpha^* e_i^l s_\alpha = \sum_{j=1}^{m(l+1)} A_{l,l+1}(i, \alpha, j) e_j^{l+1}.$$

We will next prove the second equality of (4.2). By the equalities

$$\begin{aligned} e_i^l &= \prod_{\mu \in \Gamma_l^-(v_i^l)} s_\mu^* s_\mu \prod_{\nu \in B_l(\Lambda) \backslash \Gamma_l^-(v_i^l)} (P - s_\nu^* s_\nu) \\ &= \prod_{\mu \in \Gamma_l^-(v_i^l)} (\sum_{k=1}^{m(1)} s_\mu^* e_k^1 s_\mu) \prod_{\nu \in B_l(\Lambda) \backslash \Gamma_l^-(v_i^l)} (P - \sum_{h=1}^{m(1)} s_\nu^* e_h^1 s_\nu) \end{aligned}$$

one knows that e_i^l is a finite sum of $e_1^l, \dots, e_{m(l+1)}^{l+1}$. Suppose that $e_i^l \geq e_j^{l+1}$. Since $v_j^{l+1} = [\zeta(j)]_{l+1}$ for some $\zeta(j) \in S_{l+1}(\Lambda)$, one has $e_j^{l+1} \geq s_{\zeta(j)} s_{\zeta(j)}^*$ and hence $e_i^l \geq s_{\zeta(j)} s_{\zeta(j)}^*$. This implies

$$\prod_{\mu \in \Gamma_l^-(v_i^l)} s_\mu^* s_\mu \prod_{\nu \in B_l(\Lambda) \backslash \Gamma_l^-(v_i^l)} (P - s_\nu^* s_\nu) \geq s_{\zeta(j)} s_{\zeta(j)}^*$$

so that

$$\begin{aligned} s_\mu^* s_\mu \geq s_{\zeta(j)} s_{\zeta(j)}^* \text{ and hence } s_{\mu\zeta(j)} \neq 0 \quad &\text{for } \mu \in \Gamma_l^-(v_i^l), \\ P - s_\nu^* s_\nu \geq s_{\zeta(j)} s_{\zeta(j)}^* \text{ and hence } s_{\nu\zeta(j)} = 0 \quad &\text{for } \nu \in B_l(\Lambda) \backslash \Gamma_l^-(v_i^l). \end{aligned}$$

Hence

$$\begin{aligned} &\mu\zeta(j) \in B_*(\Lambda) \quad \text{for } \mu \in \Gamma_l^-(v_i^l), \\ &\nu\zeta(j) \notin B_*(\Lambda) \quad \text{for } \nu \in B_l(\Lambda) \backslash \Gamma_l^-(v_i^l). \end{aligned}$$

Thus one has $[\zeta(j)]_l = v_i^l$. As $[\zeta(j)]_{l+1} = v_j^{l+1}$, one obtaines that $I_{l,l+1}(i, j) = 1$. We then conclude the second equality of (4.2).

The projections e_i^l and $s_\alpha^* s_\alpha$ all belong to the commutative C^*-subalgebra of $\mathcal{O}_{\lambda(\widetilde{\Lambda})}$ generated by the projections $\widetilde{S}_\mu \widetilde{S}_{\xi_1}^* \widetilde{S}_{\xi_1} \cdots \widetilde{S}_{\xi_n}^* \widetilde{S}_{\xi_n} \widetilde{S}_\mu^*, \mu, \xi_1 \cdots \xi_n \in B_*(\widetilde{\Lambda})$. The commutativity between e_i^l and $s_\alpha^* s_\alpha$ is obvious. Thus we complete the proof. $\square$

Therefore we have

Corollary 4.7. *The C^*-subalgebra of $\mathcal{O}_{\lambda(\widetilde{\Lambda})}$ generated by the partial isometries $s_\alpha, \alpha \in \Sigma$ and the projections $e_i^l, i = 1, \dots, m(l)$, $l \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ is canonically isomorphic to the C^*-algebra $\mathcal{O}_{\lambda(\Lambda)}$ associated to the λ-graph system $\mathfrak{L}^{\lambda(\Lambda)}$.*

We identify the algebra $\mathcal{O}_{\lambda(\Lambda)}$ with the above C^*-subalgebra of $\mathcal{O}_{\lambda(\widetilde{\Lambda})}$ generated by the partial isometries $s_\alpha, \alpha \in \Sigma$ and the projections $e_i^l, i = 1, \dots, m(l)$, $l \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. We note that the projections $e_i^l, i = 1, \dots, m(l)$, $l \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ and P are written by $s_\alpha, s_\alpha^*, \alpha \in \Sigma$ so that the subalgebra $\mathcal{O}_{\lambda(\Lambda)}$ is generated by $s_\alpha, \alpha \in \Sigma$.

We will henceforce prove that the C^*-subalgebra $P\mathcal{O}_{\lambda(\widetilde{\Lambda})}P$ is generated by $s_\alpha, \alpha \in \Sigma$, that is $P\mathcal{O}_{\lambda(\widetilde{\Lambda})}P = \mathcal{O}_{\lambda(\Lambda)}$. Let $\mathcal{A}_{\lambda(\widetilde{\Lambda})}$ be the C^*-subalgebra of $\mathcal{O}_{\lambda(\widetilde{\Lambda})}$ generated by the projections $\widetilde{E}_i^l$, $i = 1, \dots, \tilde{m}(l), l \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, similarly $\mathcal{A}_{\lambda(\Lambda)}$ the C^*-subalgebra of $\mathcal{O}_{\lambda(\widetilde{\Lambda})}$ generated by the projections e_i^l, $i = 1, \dots, \tilde{m}(l), l \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. The subalgebra $\mathcal{A}_{\lambda(\Lambda)}$ is naturally regarded as a corresponding subalgebra of $\mathcal{O}_{\lambda(\Lambda)}$ through the canonical isomorphism in the above corollary.

For a word $\nu = \nu_1 \cdots \nu_l \in B_l(\widetilde{\Lambda})$ satisfying $\nu_1 \neq 1, \nu_l \neq 0$, we define the word $\bar{\nu} \in B_*(\Lambda)$ by putting 1 in place of 01 in ν. Since $s_1 = \widetilde{S}_0 \widetilde{S}_1$, the following lemma is straightforward.

Lemma 4.8. *For any $\mu = \mu_1 \cdots \mu_k \in B_k(\widetilde{\Lambda})$, the partial isometry $\widetilde{S}_\mu$ is of the form:*

$$\widetilde{S}_\mu = \begin{cases} s_{\bar{\mu}} & \text{if } \mu_1 \neq 1,\ \mu_k \neq 0, \\ \widetilde{S}_1 s_{\overline{\mu_2 \cdots \mu_k}} & \text{if } \mu_1 = 1,\ \mu_k \neq 0, \\ s_{\overline{\mu_1 \cdots \mu_{k-1}}} \widetilde{S}_0 & \text{if } \mu_1 \neq 1,\ \mu_k = 0, \\ \widetilde{S}_1 s_{\overline{\mu_2 \cdots \mu_{k-1}}} \widetilde{S}_0 & \text{if } \mu_1 = 1,\ \mu_k = 0. \end{cases}$$

Lemma 4.9. *For any $\mu = \mu_1 \cdots \mu_k \in B_k(\widetilde{\Lambda})$, we have*

$$\widetilde{S}_\mu P = \begin{cases} s_{\bar{\mu}} P & \text{if } \mu_1 \neq 1,\ \mu_k \neq 0, \\ \widetilde{S}_1 s_{\overline{\mu_2 \cdots \mu_k}} P & \text{if } \mu_1 = 1,\ \mu_k \neq 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } \mu_1 \neq 1,\ \mu_k = 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } \mu_1 = 1,\ \mu_k = 0. \end{cases}$$

Proof. By the preceding lemma, it suffices to show that $\widetilde{S}_0 P = 0$ for both the third case and the fourth case. As $\widetilde{S}_0^* \widetilde{S}_0 = \widetilde{S}_1 \widetilde{S}_1^*$, we have

$$\widetilde{S}_0 P = \widetilde{S}_0 \widetilde{S}_1 \widetilde{S}_1^* P = \widetilde{S}_0 \widetilde{S}_1 \widetilde{S}_1^* (1 - \widetilde{S}_1 \widetilde{S}_1^*) = 0.$$

□

Lemma 4.10. *For any $\mu = \mu_1 \cdots \mu_k \in B_k(\widetilde{\Lambda})$, we have*

$$P\widetilde{S}_\mu^* \widetilde{S}_\mu P = \begin{cases} P s_{\bar{\mu}}^* s_{\bar{\mu}} P & \text{if } \mu_1 \neq 1,\ \mu_k \neq 0, \\ P s_{\overline{\mu_2 \cdots \mu_k}}^* s_1^* s_1 s_{\overline{\mu_2 \cdots \mu_k}} P & \text{if } \mu_1 = 1,\ \mu_k \neq 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } \mu_1 \neq 1,\ \mu_k = 0, \\ 0 & \text{if } \mu_1 = 1,\ \mu_k = 0. \end{cases}$$

Proof. By the preceding lemma, it suffices to show the equality for the second case. For $\mu_1 = 1, \mu_k \neq 0$, one has $\widetilde{S}_\mu P = \widetilde{S}_1 s_{\overline{\mu_2 \cdots \mu_k}} P$ so that

$$P\widetilde{S}_\mu^* \widetilde{S}_\mu P = P s_{\overline{\mu_2 \cdots \mu_k}}^* \widetilde{S}_1^* \widetilde{S}_1 s_{\overline{\mu_2 \cdots \mu_k}} P = P s_{\overline{\mu_2 \cdots \mu_k}}^* s_1^* s_1 s_{\overline{\mu_2 \cdots \mu_k}} P.$$

$\square$

Corollary 4.11. $P\mathcal{A}_{\lambda(\widetilde{\Lambda})}P = \mathcal{A}_{\lambda(\Lambda)}$.

Proof. By the previous lemma, one sees that for $\mu \in B_*(\widetilde{\Lambda})$, the element $P\widetilde{S}_\mu^*\widetilde{S}_\mu P$ belongs to $P\mathcal{A}_{\lambda(\Lambda)}P$. As P is the unit of $\mathcal{A}_{\lambda(\Lambda)}$, one knows that $P\widetilde{S}_\mu^*\widetilde{S}_\mu P \in \mathcal{A}_{\lambda(\Lambda)}$. Since $\mathcal{A}_{\lambda(\widetilde{\Lambda})}$ is generated by the projections $\widetilde{S}_\mu^*\widetilde{S}_\mu, \mu \in B_*(\widetilde{\Lambda})$, we have $P\mathcal{A}_{\lambda(\widetilde{\Lambda})}P \subset \mathcal{A}_{\lambda(\Lambda)}$. The converse inclusion relation $P\mathcal{A}_{\lambda(\widetilde{\Lambda})}P \supset \mathcal{A}_{\lambda(\Lambda)}$ is clealr. $\square$

Lemma 4.12. *For any* $\mu = \mu_1 \cdots \mu_k \in B_k(\widetilde{\Lambda})$, *we have*

$$(1-P)\widetilde{S}_\mu^*\widetilde{S}_\mu(1-P) = \begin{cases} \widetilde{S}_1 s^*_{\overline{\mu_1\cdots\mu_k 1}} s_{\overline{\mu_1\cdots\mu_k 1}} \widetilde{S}_1^* & \text{if } \mu_1 \neq 1, \\ \widetilde{S}_1 s^*_{\overline{\mu_2\cdots\mu_k 1}} s_1^* s_1 s_{\overline{\mu_2\cdots\mu_k 1}} \widetilde{S}_1^* & \text{if } \mu_1 = 1. \end{cases}$$

Proof. Since $1 - P = \widetilde{S}_1\widetilde{S}_1^*$, it follows that

$$(1-P)\widetilde{S}_\mu^*\widetilde{S}_\mu(1-P) = \widetilde{S}_1\widetilde{S}_{\mu 1}^*\widetilde{S}_{\mu 1}\widetilde{S}_1^* = \begin{cases} \widetilde{S}_1 s^*_{\overline{\mu_1\cdots\mu_k 1}} s_{\overline{\mu_1\cdots\mu_k 1}} \widetilde{S}_1^* & \text{if } \mu_1 \neq 1, \\ \widetilde{S}_1 s^*_{\overline{\mu_2\cdots\mu_k 1}} \widetilde{S}_1^*\widetilde{S}_1 s_{\overline{\mu_2\cdots\mu_k 1}} \widetilde{S}_1^* & \text{if } \mu_1 = 1. \end{cases}$$

As $\widetilde{S}_1^*\widetilde{S}_1 = s_1^* s_1$, one sees the desired equalities. $\square$

Corollary 4.13. $(1-P)\mathcal{A}_{\lambda(\widetilde{\Lambda})}(1-P) \subset \widetilde{S}_1\mathcal{A}_{\lambda(\Lambda)}\widetilde{S}_1^*$.

Proof. By the previous lemma, one sees that for $\mu \in B_*(\widetilde{\Lambda})$, the element $(1 - P)\widetilde{S}_\mu^*\widetilde{S}_\mu(1-P)$ belongs to $\widetilde{S}_1\mathcal{A}_{\lambda(\Lambda)}\widetilde{S}_1^*$ so that we have $(1-P)\mathcal{A}_{\lambda(\widetilde{\Lambda})}(1-P) \subset \widetilde{S}_1\mathcal{A}_{\lambda(\Lambda)}\widetilde{S}_1^*$. $\square$

Proposition 4.14. $P\mathcal{O}_{\lambda(\widetilde{\Lambda})}P \subset \mathcal{O}_{\lambda(\Lambda)}$.

Proof. The C^*-algebra $P\mathcal{O}_{\lambda(\widetilde{\Lambda})}P$ is generated by the elements of the form:

$$P\widetilde{S}_\mu\widetilde{S}_{\xi_1}^*\widetilde{S}_{\xi_1}\cdots\widetilde{S}_{\xi_n}^*\widetilde{S}_{\xi_n}\widetilde{S}_\nu^*P, \qquad \mu, \xi_1, \dots, \xi_n, \nu \in B_*(\widetilde{\Lambda}).$$

Suppose that $P\widetilde{S}_\mu\widetilde{S}_{\xi_1}^*\widetilde{S}_{\xi_1}\cdots\widetilde{S}_{\xi_n}^*\widetilde{S}_{\xi_n}\widetilde{S}_\nu^*P \neq 0$. Let $\mu = \mu_1\cdots\mu_k, \nu = \nu_1\cdots\nu_h$. Since $P\widetilde{S}_\mu = \widetilde{S}_\mu \neq 0$ and $\widetilde{S}_\nu^*P = \widetilde{S}_\nu^* \neq 0$, one has $\mu_1 \neq 1, \nu_1 \neq 1$. Hence the words μ, ν satisfy the first condition or the third condition in Lemma 4.8.

Case 1: $\mu_k \neq 0, \nu_h \neq 0$.

Since $\widetilde{S}_{\mu_k}\widetilde{S}_1\widetilde{S}_1^* = 0$, we have $\widetilde{S}_{\mu_k}(1-P) = 0$ so that $\widetilde{S}_\mu P = \widetilde{S}_\mu$. Hence $\widetilde{S}_\mu$ commutes with P. Similarly $\widetilde{S}_\nu$ commutes with P. By Lemma 4.8, one sees that $\widetilde{S}_\mu = s_{\overline{\mu}}, \widetilde{S}_\nu = s_{\overline{\nu}}$. It then follows that

$$P\widetilde{S}_\mu\widetilde{S}_{\xi_1}^*\widetilde{S}_{\xi_1}\cdots\widetilde{S}_{\xi_n}^*\widetilde{S}_{\xi_n}\widetilde{S}_\nu^*P = s_{\overline{\mu}}P\widetilde{S}_{\xi_1}^*\widetilde{S}_{\xi_1}\cdots\widetilde{S}_{\xi_n}^*\widetilde{S}_{\xi_n}Ps_{\overline{\nu}}^*.$$

Since $\widetilde{S}_{\xi_1}^*\widetilde{S}_{\xi_1}\cdots\widetilde{S}_{\xi_n}^*\widetilde{S}_{\xi_n} \in \mathcal{A}_{\lambda(\widetilde{\Lambda})}$ and $P\mathcal{A}_{\lambda(\widetilde{\Lambda})}P = \mathcal{A}_{\lambda(\Lambda)}$, one sees that the element

$$P\widetilde{S}_\mu\widetilde{S}_{\xi_1}^*\widetilde{S}_{\xi_1}\cdots\widetilde{S}_{\xi_n}^*\widetilde{S}_{\xi_n}\widetilde{S}_\nu^*P$$

belongs to $s_{\overline{\mu}}\mathcal{A}_{\lambda(\Lambda)}s_{\overline{\nu}}^*$ and hence to $\mathcal{O}_{\lambda(\Lambda)}$.

Case 2: $\mu_k \neq 0, \nu_h = 0$.

As in the above discussion, we know that $\widetilde{S}_\mu$ commutes with P. Since $P\widetilde{S}_0^*\widetilde{S}_0 = 0$, one has

$$\begin{aligned} P\widetilde{S}_\mu\widetilde{S}^*_{\xi_1}\widetilde{S}_{\xi_1}\cdots\widetilde{S}^*_{\xi_n}\widetilde{S}_{\xi_n}\widetilde{S}^*_\nu P &= \widetilde{S}_\mu P\widetilde{S}^*_{\xi_1}\widetilde{S}_{\xi_1}\cdots\widetilde{S}^*_{\xi_n}\widetilde{S}_{\xi_n}\widetilde{S}^*_0\widetilde{S}^*_{\nu_1\cdots\nu_{h-1}}P \\ &= \widetilde{S}_\mu P\widetilde{S}^*_0\widetilde{S}_0\widetilde{S}^*_{\xi_1}\widetilde{S}_{\xi_1}\cdots\widetilde{S}^*_{\xi_n}\widetilde{S}_{\xi_n}\widetilde{S}^*_0\widetilde{S}^*_{\nu_1\cdots\nu_{h-1}}P = 0 \end{aligned}$$

a contradiction.

Case 3: $\mu_k = 0, \nu_h \ne 0$.

This case is similar to Case 2.

Case 4: $\mu_k = 0, \nu_h = 0$.

Since $\widetilde{S}_0 P = 0$, we have $\widetilde{S}_\mu = \widetilde{S}_\mu(1-P)$ and similarly $\widetilde{S}^*_\nu = (1-P)\widetilde{S}^*_\nu$. As both words μ, ν satisfy the third condition in Lemma 4.8, one sees that

$$\widetilde{S}_\mu = s_{\overline{\mu_1\cdots\mu_{k-1}}}\widetilde{S}_0, \qquad \widetilde{S}_\nu = s_{\overline{\nu_1\cdots\nu_{h-1}}}\widetilde{S}_0.$$

It then follows that

$$P\widetilde{S}_\mu = \widetilde{S}_\mu = s_{\overline{\mu_1\cdots\mu_{k-1}}}\widetilde{S}_0(1-P), \qquad \widetilde{S}^*_\nu P = \widetilde{S}^*_\nu = (1-P)\widetilde{S}^*_0 s^*_{\overline{\nu_1\cdots\nu_{h-1}}}.$$

Hence we have

$$\begin{aligned} & P\widetilde{S}_\mu\widetilde{S}^*_{\xi_1}\widetilde{S}_{\xi_1}\cdots\widetilde{S}^*_{\xi_n}\widetilde{S}_{\xi_n}\widetilde{S}^*_\nu P \\ =& s_{\overline{\mu_1\cdots\mu_{k-1}}}\widetilde{S}_0(1-P)\widetilde{S}^*_{\xi_1}\widetilde{S}_{\xi_1}\cdots\widetilde{S}^*_{\xi_n}\widetilde{S}_{\xi_n}(1-P)\widetilde{S}^*_0 s^*_{\overline{\nu_1\cdots\nu_{h-1}}}. \end{aligned}$$

By the preceding lemma, one knows that $(1-P)\mathcal{A}_{\lambda(\widetilde{\Lambda})}(1-P) \subset \widetilde{S}_1\mathcal{A}_{\lambda(\Lambda)}\widetilde{S}^*_1$ so that the element $\widetilde{S}_0(1-P)\widetilde{S}^*_{\xi_1}\widetilde{S}_{\xi_1}\cdots\widetilde{S}^*_{\xi_n}\widetilde{S}_{\xi_n}(1-P)\widetilde{S}^*_0$ belongs to $\widetilde{S}_0\widetilde{S}_1\mathcal{A}_{\lambda(\Lambda)}\widetilde{S}^*_1\widetilde{S}^*_0$ which is $s_1\mathcal{A}_{\lambda(\Lambda)}s^*_1$. Hence the element $P\widetilde{S}_\mu\widetilde{S}^*_{\xi_1}\widetilde{S}_{\xi_1}\cdots\widetilde{S}^*_{\xi_n}\widetilde{S}_{\xi_n}\widetilde{S}^*_\nu P$ belongs to $s_1\mathcal{A}_{\lambda(\Lambda)}s^*_1$ and hence to $\mathcal{O}_{\lambda(\Lambda)}$.

Therefore in all cases we see that $P\widetilde{S}_\mu\widetilde{S}^*_{\xi_1}\widetilde{S}_{\xi_1}\cdots\widetilde{S}^*_{\xi_n}\widetilde{S}_{\xi_n}\widetilde{S}^*_\nu P$ belongs to $\mathcal{O}_{\lambda(\Lambda)}$ so that we conclude $P\mathcal{O}_{\lambda(\widetilde{\Lambda})}P \subset \mathcal{O}_{\lambda(\Lambda)}$. □

Let $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda(\widetilde{\Lambda})}$ be the C^*-subalgebra of $\mathcal{O}_{\lambda(\widetilde{\Lambda})}$ generated by the projections $\widetilde{S}_\mu\widetilde{E}^l_i\widetilde{S}^*_\mu$, $\mu \in B_*(\widetilde{\Lambda})$, $i = 1, \dots, \tilde{m}(l), l \in \mathbb{Z}_+$, similarly $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda(\Lambda)}$ the C^*-subalgebra of $\mathcal{O}_{\lambda(\widetilde{\Lambda})}$ generated by the projections $s_\nu e^l_i s^*_\nu$, $\nu \in B_*(\Lambda)$, $i = 1, \dots, \tilde{m}(l), l \in \mathbb{Z}_+$. The subalgebra $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda(\Lambda)}$ is naturally regarded as a corresponding subalgebra of $\mathcal{O}_{\lambda(\Lambda)}$ through the canonical isomorphism in Corollary 4.7.

Proposition 4.15.

(i) $P\mathcal{O}_{\lambda(\widetilde{\Lambda})}P = \mathcal{O}_{\lambda(\Lambda)}$.

(ii) $\mathcal{O}_{\lambda(\widetilde{\Lambda})}P\mathcal{O}_{\lambda(\widetilde{\Lambda})} = \mathcal{O}_{\lambda(\widetilde{\Lambda})}$.

(iii) $P\mathcal{D}_{\lambda(\widetilde{\Lambda})}P = \mathcal{D}_{\lambda(\Lambda)}$.

Proof. (i) The inclusion relation $P\mathcal{O}_{\lambda(\widetilde{\Lambda})}P \supset \mathcal{O}_{\lambda(\Lambda)}$ is obvious so that by the preceding proposition we have $P\mathcal{O}_{\lambda(\widetilde{\Lambda})}P = \mathcal{O}_{\lambda(\Lambda)}$.

(ii) Since $\widetilde{S}^*_0\widetilde{S}_0 = \widetilde{S}_1\widetilde{S}^*_1$ one has $\widetilde{S}^*_0 P\widetilde{S}_0 = \widetilde{S}^*_0\widetilde{S}_0 = \widetilde{S}_1\widetilde{S}^*_1$. It follows that

$$\widetilde{S}^*_0 P\widetilde{S}_0 + P = \sum_{j=0}^{N}\widetilde{S}_j\widetilde{S}^*_j = 1.$$

This means that P is a full projection in $\mathcal{O}_{\lambda(\widetilde{\Lambda})}$.

(iii) In the proof of Proposition 4.14, the projection $P\widetilde{S}_\mu \widetilde{S}_{\xi_1}^* \widetilde{S}_{\xi_1} \cdots \widetilde{S}_{\xi_n}^* \widetilde{S}_{\xi_n} \widetilde{S}_\mu^* P$ belongs to $\mathcal{D}_{\lambda(\Lambda)}$ so that $P\mathcal{D}_{\lambda(\widetilde{\Lambda})} P \subset \mathcal{D}_{\lambda(\Lambda)}$. The other inclusion relation $P\mathcal{D}_{\lambda(\widetilde{\Lambda})} P \supset \mathcal{D}_{\lambda(\Lambda)}$ is clear. □

Let $K(H)$ be the C^*-algebra of all compact operators on a separable infinite dimensional Hilbert space H and $C(H)$ a maximal commutative C^*-subalgebra of $K(H)$.

Theorem 4.16. *Assume that Λ is a λ-synchronizing subshift that is homeomorphic to a Cantor discontinuum. Then we have*

$$(\mathcal{O}_{\lambda(\widetilde{\Lambda})} \otimes K(H), \mathcal{D}_{\lambda(\widetilde{\Lambda})} \otimes C(H)) \cong (\mathcal{O}_{\lambda(\Lambda)} \otimes K(H), \mathcal{D}_{\lambda(\Lambda)} \otimes C(H)).$$

In particular we have

$$\mathcal{O}_{\lambda(\widetilde{\Lambda})} \otimes K(H) \cong \mathcal{O}_{\lambda(\Lambda)} \otimes K(H).$$

Proof. Proposition 4.15 (ii) shows that the projection P is full in $\mathcal{O}_{\lambda(\widetilde{\Lambda})}$. By [4], we have desired assertions. □

Therefore we conclude

Theorem 4.17. *Assume that λ-synchronizing subshifts Λ_1 and Λ_2 are homeomorphic to a Cantor discontinuum. Supposee that Λ_1 is flow equivalent to Λ_2. Then we have*

$$(\mathcal{O}_{\lambda(\Lambda_1)} \otimes K(H), \mathcal{D}_{\lambda(\Lambda_1)} \otimes C(H)) \cong (\mathcal{O}_{\lambda(\Lambda_2)} \otimes K(H), \mathcal{D}_{\lambda(\Lambda_2)} \otimes C(H)).$$

In particular we have

$$\mathcal{O}_{\lambda(\Lambda_1)} \otimes K(H) \cong \mathcal{O}_{\lambda(\Lambda_2)} \otimes K(H).$$

Proof. Flow equivalence relation of subshifts is generated by topological conjugacy and expansion $\Lambda \longrightarrow \widetilde{\Lambda}$. Suppose that λ-synchronizing subshifts Λ_1 and Λ_2 are topologically conjugate. By [27, Proposition 3.5], their symbolic matrix systems $(\mathcal{M}^{\lambda(\Lambda_1)}, I^{\lambda(\Lambda_1)})$ and $(\mathcal{M}^{\lambda(\Lambda_2)}, I^{\lambda(\Lambda_2)})$ are strong shift equivalence. Then we have

$$(\mathcal{O}_{\lambda(\Lambda_1)} \otimes K(H), \mathcal{D}_{\lambda(\Lambda_1)} \otimes C(H)) \cong (\mathcal{O}_{\lambda(\Lambda_2)} \otimes K(H), \mathcal{D}_{\lambda(\Lambda_2)} \otimes C(H)).$$

by [35, Theorem 4.4]. Hence by the above theorem, we have desired assertions. □

Corollary 4.18 ([40])**.** *Assume that λ-synchronizing subshifts Λ_1 and Λ_2 are homeomorphic to a Cantor discontinuum. Supposee that Λ_1 is flow equivalent to Λ_2. Then the λ-synchronizing K-groups and the λ-synchronizing Bowen-Franks groups are isomorphic to each other, that is*

$$K_i^\lambda(\Lambda_1) \cong K_i^\lambda(\Lambda_2) \quad \textit{and} \quad BF_\lambda^i(\Lambda_1) \cong BF_\lambda^i(\Lambda_2), \qquad i = 0, 1.$$

5. EXAMPLES

1. Sofic shifts.

Let Λ be an irreducible sofic shift which is homeomorphic to a Cantor discontinuum. Let $\mathcal{G}_{F(\Lambda)}$ be a finite directed labeled graph of the minimal left-resolving presentation of Λ. Such a labeled graph is unique up to graph isomorphism and called the left Fischer cover ([9], [17], [18], [43]). Let $\mathfrak{L}_{\mathcal{G}_{F(\Lambda)}}$ be the λ-graph system associated to the finite labeled graph $\mathcal{G}_{F(\Lambda)}$ (see [34, Proposition 8.2]). Then the λ-synchronizing λ-graph system $\mathfrak{L}^{\lambda(\Lambda)}$ for the sofic shift Λ is nothing but the λ-graph system $\mathfrak{L}_{\mathcal{G}_{F(\Lambda)}}$. Let N be the number of the vertices of the graph $\mathcal{G}_{F(\Lambda)}$. Let $\mathcal{M}_{F(\Lambda)}$

be the $N \times N$ symbolic matrix of the graph $\mathcal{G}_{F(\Lambda)}$. Let $A_{F(\Lambda)}$ be the $N \times N$ nonnegative matrix defined from $\mathcal{M}_{F(\Lambda)}$ by all symbols equal to 1 in each component of $\mathcal{M}_{F(\Lambda)}$. Then the C^*-algebra $\mathcal{O}_{\lambda(\Lambda)}$ of the λ-graph system $\mathfrak{L}^{\lambda(\Lambda)}$ is simple, purely infinite. The algebra $\mathcal{O}_{\lambda(\Lambda)}$ is nothing but the labeled graph C^*-algebra $\mathcal{O}_{\mathcal{G}_{F(\Lambda)}}$ for the labeled graph $\mathcal{G}_{F(\Lambda)}$ (cf. [1]). It is isomorphic to a Cuntz-Krieger algebra. The λ-synchronizing K-groups and the Bowen-Franks groups are as follows:

$$K_0^\lambda(\Lambda) = \mathbb{Z}^N/(I_N - A^t_{F(\Lambda)})\mathbb{Z}^N, \qquad K_1^\lambda(\Lambda) = \operatorname{Ker}(I_N - A^t_{F(\Lambda)}) \quad \text{in } \mathbb{Z}^N$$

and

$$BF^0_\lambda(\Lambda) = \mathbb{Z}^N/(I_N - A_{F(\Lambda)})\mathbb{Z}^N, \qquad BF^1_\lambda(\Lambda) = \operatorname{Ker}(I_N - A_{F(\Lambda)}) \quad \text{in } \mathbb{Z}^N.$$

They are all invariant under flow equivalence of Λ.

2. Dyck shifts.

Let $N > 1$ be a fixed positive integer. We consider the Dyck shift D_N with alphabet $\Sigma = \Sigma^- \cup \Sigma^+$ where $\Sigma^- = \{\alpha_1, \dots, \alpha_N\}, \Sigma^+ = \{\beta_1, \dots, \beta_N\}$. The symbols α_i, β_i correspond to the brackets $(_i,)_i$ respectively. The Dyck inverse monoid for Σ has the relations

$$\alpha_i \beta_j = \begin{cases} \mathbf{1} & \text{if } i = j, \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases} \tag{5.1}$$

for $i, j = 1, \dots, N$ ([16], [25]). A word $\omega_1 \cdots \omega_n$ of Σ is admissible for D_N precisely if $\prod_{m=1}^n \omega_m \neq 0$. For a word $\omega = \omega_1 \cdots \omega_n$ of Σ, we denote by $\tilde{\omega}$ its reduced form. Namely $\tilde{\omega}$ is a word of $\Sigma \cup \{0, \mathbf{1}\}$ obtained after the operations (5.1). Hence a word ω of Σ is forbidden for D_N if and only if $\tilde{\omega} = 0$.

Let us describe the Cantor horizon λ-graph system $\mathfrak{L}^{Ch(D_N)}$ of D_N. Let Σ_N be the full N-shift $\{1, \dots, N\}^{\mathbb{Z}}$. We denote by $B_l(D_N)$ and $B_l(\Sigma_N)$ the set of admissible words of length l of D_N and that of Σ_N respectively. The vertices V_l of $\mathfrak{L}^{Ch(D_N)}$ at level l are given by the words of length l consisting of the symbols of Σ^+. That is,

$$V_l = \{\beta_{\mu_1} \cdots \beta_{\mu_l} \in B_l(D_N) \mid \mu_1 \cdots \mu_l \in B_l(\Sigma_N)\}.$$

It is easy to see that each word of V_l is l-synchronizing in D_N such that V_l represent the all l-past equivalence classes of D_N. Hence we know that $V_l = V_l^{\lambda(D_N)}$. The cardinal number of V_l is N^l. The mapping $\iota (= \iota_{l,l+1}) : V_{l+1} \to V_l$ deletes the rightmost symbol of a word such as

$$\iota(\beta_{\mu_1} \cdots \beta_{\mu_{l+1}}) = \beta_{\mu_1} \cdots \beta_{\mu_l}, \qquad \beta_{\mu_1} \cdots \beta_{\mu_{l+1}} \in V_{l+1}. \tag{5.2}$$

There exists an edge labeled α_j from $\beta_{\mu_1} \cdots \beta_{\mu_l} \in V_l$ to $\beta_{\mu_0}\beta_{\mu_1} \cdots \beta_{\mu_l} \in V_{l+1}$ precisely if $\mu_0 = j$, and there exists an edge labeled β_j from $\beta_j \beta_{\mu_1} \cdots \beta_{\mu_{l-1}} \in V_l$ to $\beta_{\mu_1} \cdots \beta_{\mu_{l+1}} \in V_{l+1}$. The resulting labeled Bratteli diagram with ι-map is the Cantor horizon λ-graph system $\mathfrak{L}^{Ch(D_N)}$ of D_N. One knows easily the following:

Proposition 5.1. *The Dyck shift D_N is λ-synchronizing, and the λ-synchronizing λ-graph system $\mathfrak{L}^{\lambda(D_N)}$ is the Cantor horizon λ-graph system $\mathfrak{L}^{Ch(D_N)}$.*

The Cantor horizon λ-graph system $\mathfrak{L}^{Ch(D_N)}$ gives rise to a purely infinite simple C^*-algebra $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{L}^{Ch(D_N)}}$ ([25],[38]). The K-groups of the C^*-algebra $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{L}^{Ch(D_N)}}$ are realized as the K-groups of the λ-graph system $\mathfrak{L}^{Ch(D_N)}$ so that

$$K_0(\mathcal{O}_{\lambda(D_N)}) \cong \mathbb{Z}/N\mathbb{Z} \oplus C(\mathfrak{K}, \mathbb{Z}), \qquad K_1(\mathcal{O}_{\lambda(D_N)}) \cong 0 \qquad ([25], [38]),$$

where $C(\mathfrak{K}, \mathbb{Z})$ denotes the abelian group of all $\mathbb{Z}$-valued continuous functions on a Cantor discontinuum $\mathfrak{K}$.

3. Topological Markov Dyck shifts.

We consider a generalization of the above discussions for the Dyck shifts. Let $A = [A(i,j)]_{i,j=1,\dots,N}$ be an $N \times N$ matrix with entries in $\{0,1\}$. Consider the Dyck inverse monoid for the alphabet $\Sigma = \Sigma^- \cup \Sigma^+$ where $\Sigma^- = \{\alpha_1, \cdots, \alpha_N\}, \Sigma^+ = \{\beta_1, \cdots, \beta_N\}$. which has the relations (5.1). Let $\mathcal{O}_A$ be the Cuntz-Krieger algebra for the matrix A that is the universal C^*-algebra generated by N partial isometries $t_1, \dots, t_N$ subject to the following relations:

$$\sum_{j=1}^N t_j t_j^* = 1, \qquad t_i^* t_i = \sum_{j=1}^N A(i,j) t_j t_j^* \quad \text{for } i = 1, \dots, N$$

([7]). Define a correspondence $\varphi_A : \Sigma \longrightarrow \{t_i^*, t_i \mid i = 1, \dots, N\}$ by setting

$$\varphi_A(\alpha_i) = t_i^*, \qquad \varphi_A(\beta_i) = t_i, \qquad i = 1, \dots, N.$$

We denote by Σ^* the set of all words $\gamma_1 \cdots \gamma_n$ of elements of Σ. Define the set

$$\mathfrak{F}_A = \{\gamma_1 \cdots \gamma_n \in \Sigma^* \mid \varphi_A(\gamma_1) \cdots \varphi_A(\gamma_n) = 0 \text{ in } \mathcal{O}_A\}.$$

Let D_A be the subshift over Σ whose forbidden words are $\mathfrak{F}_A$. The subshift is called the topological Markov Dyck shift defined by A ([39]). These kinds of subshifts have first appeared in [23] in semigroup setting and in [12] in more general setting without using C^*-algebras (cf. [39]). If all entries of A are 1, the subshift becomes the Dyck shift D_N with $2N$ bracket, because the partial isometries $\{\varphi_A(\alpha_i), \varphi(\beta_i) \mid i = 1, \dots, N\}$ yield the Dyck inverse monoid. Consider the following subsystem of D_A

$$D_A^+ = \{(\gamma_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \in D_A \mid \gamma_i \in \Sigma^+, i \in \mathbb{Z}\},$$

which is identified with the topological Markov shift

$$\Lambda_A = \{(x_i)_{i \in \mathbb{Z}} \in \{1, \dots, N\}^{\mathbb{Z}} \mid A(x_i, x_{i+1}) = 1, i \in \mathbb{Z}\}$$

defined by the matrix A. If A satisfies condition (I) in the sense of Cuntz-Krieger [7], the subshift D_A is not sofic ([39, Proposition 2.1]. Hence most irreducible matrix A yield non Markov subshifts D_A. Similarly to the Dyck shifts, one may consider the Cantor horizon λ-graph systems $\mathfrak{L}^{Ch(D_A)}$ for the topological Markov Dyck shifts D_A, which have been studied in [39]. We denote by $B_l(D_A^+)$ the set of admissible words of length l of D_A^+. The vertices V_l, $l \in \mathbb{Z}_+$ of $\mathfrak{L}^{Ch(D_A)}$ are given by the admissible words of length l consisting of the symbols of Σ^+. They are l-synchronizing words of D_A such that the l-past equivalence classes of them coincide with the l-past equivalence classes of the set of all l-synchronizing words of D_A. Hence $V_l = V_l^{\lambda(D_A)}$. Since V_l is identified with $B_l(\Lambda_A)$, we may write V_l as

$$V_l = \{\beta_{\mu_1} \cdots \beta_{\mu_l} \mid \mu_1 \cdots \mu_l \in B_l(\Lambda_A)\}.$$

The mapping $\iota(= \iota_{l,l+1}) : V_{l+1} \to V_l$ is defined by deleting the rightmost symbol of a corresponding word as in (5.2). There exists an edge labeled α_j from $\beta_{\mu_1} \cdots \beta_{\mu_l} \in V_l$ to $\beta_{\mu_1} \cdots \beta_{\mu_{l+1}} \in V_{l+1}$ precisely if $\mu_0 = j$, and there exists an edge labeled β_j from $\beta_j \beta_{\mu_1} \cdots \beta_{\mu_{l-1}} \in V_l$ to $\beta_{\mu_1} \cdots \beta_{\mu_{l+1}}$. It is easy to see that the resulting labeled Bratteli diagram with ι-map becomes a λ-graph system written $\mathfrak{L}^{Ch(D_A)}$ called the Cantor horizon λ-graph system for the topological Markov Dyck shifts D_A.

Proposition 5.2. *The subshift D_A is λ-synchronizing, and the λ-synchronizing λ-graph system $\mathfrak{L}^{\lambda(D_A)}$ is the Cantor horizon λ-graph system $\mathfrak{L}^{Ch(D_A)}$.*

Hence the C^*-algebra $\mathcal{O}_{\lambda(D_A)}$ coincides with is the algebra $\mathcal{O}_{\mathfrak{L}^{Ch(D_A)}}$. By [39, Lemma 2.5], if A satisfies condition (I) in the sense of [7], the λ-graph system $\mathfrak{L}^{Ch(\Lambda_A)}$ satisfies λ-condition (I) in the sense of [37]. If A is irreducible, the λ-graph system $\mathfrak{L}^{Ch(\Lambda_A)}$ is λ-irreducible. Hence we have

Proposition 5.3. *Suppose that A is an irreducible matrix with entries in $\{0, 1\}$ satisfying condition (I). Then the C^*-algebra $\mathcal{O}_{\lambda(D_A)}$ associated with the λ-synchronizing λ-graph system $\mathfrak{L}^{\lambda(D_A)}$ for the topological Markov Dyck shift D_A is simple and purely infinite.*

One knows that β-shifts for $1 < \beta \in \mathbb{R}$, a schynchronizing counter shift named as the context free shift in [28, Example 1.2.9], and Motzkin shifts are all λ-synchronizing. Their C^*-algebras for the λ-synchronizing λ-graph systems have been studied in the papers [13], [30], [36] respectively.

Acknowledgment: The author would like to thank Wolfgang Krieger for his various discussions and constant encouragements.

References

[1] T. Bates and D. Pask, *The C^*-algebras of labelled graphs*, J. Operator Theory **57**(2007), pp. 207–226.

[2] F. Blanchard and G. Hansel, *Systems codés* , Theor. Computer Sci. **44**(1986), pp. 17–49.

[3] R. Bowen and J. Franks, *Homology for zero-dimensional nonwandering sets*, Ann. Math. **106** (1977), pp. 73–92.

[4] L. G. Brown, *Stable isomorphism of hereditary subalgebras of C^*-algebras*, Pacific. J. Math. **71**(1977), pp. 335–348.

[5] T. M. Carlesen and K. Matsumoto, *Some remarks on the C^*-algebras associated with subshifts*, Math. Scand. **95**(2004), pp. 145-160.

[6] J. Cuntz *Simple C^*-algebras generated by isometries*, Commun. Math. Phys. **57**(1977), pp. 173–185.

[7] J. Cuntz and W. Krieger, *A class of C^*-algebras and topological Markov chains*, Invent. Math. **56**(1980), pp. 251–268.

[8] D. Fiebig and U. -R. Fiegig, *Covers for coded systems* in *Symbolic Dynamics and Its Applications*, Contemporary Math. **135**(19925), pp. 139–180.

[9] R. Fischer, *Sofic systems and graphs*, Monats. für Math. **80**(1975), pp. 179–186.

[10] J. Franks, *Flow equivalence of subshifts of finite type*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems **4**(1984), pp. 53–66.

[11] M. Fujiwara and M. Oshikawa, *Sofic shifts and flow equivalence*, Math. Rep. Kyushu Univ. **16**(1987), pp. 17–27.

[12] T. Hamachi, K. Inoue and W. Krieger, *Subsystems of finite type and semigroup invariants of subshifts*, J. Reine Angew. Math. **632**(2009), pp. 37–69.

[13] Y. Katayama, K. Matsumoto and Y. Watatani, *Simple C^*-algebras arising from β-expansion of real numbers*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems **18**(1998), pp. 937–962.

[14] E. Kirchberg, *The classification of purely infinite C^*-algebras using Kasparov's theory*, preprint, 1994.

[15] B. P. Kitchens, *Symbolic dynamics*, Springer-Verlag, Berlin, Heidelberg and New York (1998).

[16] W. Krieger, *On the uniqueness of the equilibrium state*, Math. Systems Theory **8** (1974), pp. 97–104.

[17] W. Krieger, *On sofic systems I*, Israel J. Math. **48**(1984), pp. 305–330.

[18] W. Krieger, *On sofic systems II*, Israel J. Math. **60**(1987), pp. 167–176.

[19] W. Krieger, *On a syntactically defined invariant of symbolic dynamics*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems **20**(2000), pp. 501–516.

[20] W. Krieger, *On subshifts and topological Markov chains*, Numbers, information and complexity (Bielefeld 1998), Kluwer Acad. Publ. Boston MA (2000) pp. 453–472.

[21] W. Krieger, *On g-functions for subshifts*, Dynamics and stochastics, pp. 306–316, IMS Lecture Notes Monogr. Ser. 48, Inst. Math. Statist. Beachwood, OH, 2006. arXiv:math. DS/0608259.

[22] W. Krieger, *On a certain class of g-functions for subshifts*, preprint, arXiv:math. DS/0612345.

[23] W. Krieger, *On subshifts and semigroups*, Bull. London Math. **38** (2006), pp. 617–624.

[24] W. Krieger and K. Matsumoto, *Shannon graphs, subshifts and lambda-graph systems*, J. Math. Soc. Japan **54**(2002), pp. 877–900.

[25] W. Krieger and K. Matsumoto, *A lambda-graph system for the Dyck shift and its K-groups*, Doc. Math. **8** (2003), pp. 79–96.

[26] W. Krieger and K. Matsumoto, *Zeta functions and topological entropy of the Markov-Dyck shifts*, preprint.

[27] W. Krieger and K. Matsumoto, *A notion of synchronization of subshifts and a class of C^*-algebras*, preprint 2010.

[28] D. Lind and B. Marcus, *An introduction to symbolic dynamics and coding*, Cambridge University Press, Cambridge (1995).

[29] K. Matsumoto, *On C^*-algebras associated with subshifts*, Internat. J. Math. **8**(1997), pp. 357-374.

[30] K. Matsumoto, *A simple C^*-algebra arising from certain subshift*, J. Operator Theory **42**(1999), pp. 351–370.

[31] K. Matsumoto, *Presentations of subshifts and their topological conjugacy invariants*, Doc. Math. **4**(1999), pp. 285-340.

[32] K. Matsumoto, *Bowen-Franks groups for subshifts and Ext-groups for C^*-algebras*, K-Theory **23** (2001), pp. 67–104.

[33] K. Matsumoto, *Bowen-Franks groups as an invariant for flow equivalence of subshifts*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems **21** (2001), pp. 1831–1842.

[34] K. Matsumoto, *C^*-algebras associated with presentations of subshifts*, Doc. Math. **7**(2002), pp. 1–30.

[35] K. Matsumoto, *Strong shift equivalence of symbolic matrix systems and Morita equivalence of C^*-algebras*, Ergodic Theory Dynam. Systems **24**(2004), pp. 199–215.

[36] K. Matsumoto, *A simple purely infinite C^*-algebra associated with a lambda-graph system of Motzkin shift*, Math. Z. **248** (2004), pp. 369–394.

[37] K. Matsumoto, *Construction and pure infiniteness of C^*-algebras associated with lambda-graph systems*, Math. Scand. **97** (2005), pp. 73–88.

[38] K. Matsumoto, *On the simple C^*-algebras arising from Dyck systems*, J. Operator Theory **58**(2007), pp. 205–226.

[39] K. Matsumoto, *C^*-algebras arising from Dyck systems of topological Markov chains*, to appear in Math. Scand..

[40] K. Matsumoto, *A certain synchronizing property of subshifts and flow equivalence*, preprint, 2011.

[41] W. Parry and D. Sullivan, *A topological invariant for flows on one-dimensional spaces*, Topology **14** (1975), pp. 297–299.

[42] N. C. Phillips, *A classification theorem for nuclear purely infinite simple C^*-algebras*, Doc. Math. **5**(2000), pp. 49–114.

[43] B. Weiss, *Subshifts of finite type and sofic systems*, Monats. Math. **77**(1973), pp. 462–474.

Department of Mathematics, Joetsu University of Education, Joetsu 943-8512, Japan
E-mail address: `kengo@juen.ac.jp`